UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

| FORM | 10-O |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|
| I OIUII | $\mathbf{Y}^{-}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{I}$ |

| ⊠ Quarterly Report | Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Secur For the quarterly period ended September 30, OR | _ | |
|---|--|---|-----|
| ☐ Transition Repor | For the Transition Period From to Commission file number 001-33748 | rities Exchange Act of 1934 | |
| DUPO | NT FABROS TECHNO | LOGY, INC. | |
| | NT FABROS TECHNO (Exact name of registrant as specified in its cha | LOGY, L.P. | |
| Maryland (DuPont Fa | abros Technology, Inc.) abros Technology, L.P.) i jurisdiction of or organization) | 20-8718331 26-0559473 (IRS employer identification number) | |
| Washing | NW, Suite 600 (ton, D.C.) | 20004 Zip Code | |
| | Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (202 | 2) 728-0044 | |
| Securities Exchange Act of 1 | whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to 934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 of | period that the registrant was requir | |
| every Interactive Data File re | whether the registrant has submitted electronically and puried to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 deriod that the registrant was required to submit and post subm | of Regulation S-T during the preced | |
| | whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accee the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerate to Act.: | | |
| Large accelerated Filer (DuPont Fabros Techno | | Accelerated filer | |
| Non-accelerated Filer | ✓ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) | Smaller reporting company | |
| (DuPont Fabros Techno | 1 5 1 37 | 1 5 1 7 | |
| Act). Yes □ No ⊠ Indicate the number of | whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of com | J | ble |
| late. Cli | 221 | Outstanding at October 21, 2016 | |
| <u>C16</u> | <u></u> | James at October 21, 2010 | |

75,575,889

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This report combines the quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2016 of DuPont Fabros Technology, Inc. and DuPont Fabros Technology, L.P. References to "DFT" mean DuPont Fabros Technology, Inc. and its controlled subsidiaries; and references to the "Operating Partnership" or "OP" mean DuPont Fabros Technology, L.P. and its controlled subsidiaries. Unless otherwise indicated or unless the context requires otherwise, all references in this report to "we," "us," "our," "our Company" or "the Company" refer to DFT and the Operating Partnership, collectively.

DFT is a real estate investment trust, or REIT, and the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership's capital includes general and limited common operating partnership units, or "OP units." As of September 30, 2016, DFT owned 84.5% of the common economic interest in the Operating Partnership, with the remaining interest being owned by investors. As the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, DFT has exclusive control of the Operating Partnership's day-to-day management.

We believe combining the quarterly reports on Form 10-Q of DFT and the Operating Partnership into this single report provides the following benefits:

- enhances investors' understanding of DFT and the Operating Partnership by enabling investors to view the business as a whole in the same manner as management views and operates the business;
- eliminates duplicative disclosure and provides a more streamlined and readable presentation since a substantial portion of the disclosure in this report applies to both DFT and the Operating Partnership; and
- creates time and cost efficiencies through the preparation of one combined report instead of two separate reports.

We operate DFT and the Operating Partnership as one business. The management of DFT consists of the same employees as the management of the Operating Partnership.

We believe it is important for investors to understand the few differences between DFT and the Operating Partnership in the context of how DFT and the Operating Partnership operate as a consolidated company. DFT is a REIT, whose only material asset is its ownership of OP units of the Operating Partnership. As a result, DFT does not conduct business itself, other than acting as the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, issuing public equity from time to time and guaranteeing unsecured debt of the Operating Partnership. DFT has not issued any indebtedness, but has guaranteed all of the unsecured debt of the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership, through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, holds all the real estate assets of the Company. Except for net proceeds from public equity issuances by DFT, which are contributed to the Operating Partnership in exchange for OP units or preferred units, the Operating Partnership generates all remaining capital required by our business. These sources include the Operating Partnership's operations, its direct or indirect incurrence of indebtedness, and the issuance of partnership units.

As sole general partner with control of the Operating Partnership, DFT consolidates the Operating Partnership for financial reporting purposes. The presentation of stockholders' equity and partners' capital are the main areas of difference between the consolidated financial statements of DFT and those of the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership's capital includes preferred units and general and limited common units that are owned by DFT and the other partners. DFT's stockholders' equity includes preferred stock, common stock, additional paid in capital, retained earnings and accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). The common limited partnership interests held by the limited partners (other than DFT) in the Operating Partnership are presented as "redeemable partnership units" in the Operating Partnership's consolidated financial statements and as "redeemable noncontrolling interests-operating partnership" in DFT's consolidated financial statements. The only difference between the assets and liabilities of DFT and the Operating Partnership as of September 30, 2016 was a \$4.2 million bank account held by DFT that is not part of the Operating Partnership. Net income is the same for DFT and the Operating Partnership.

In order to highlight the few differences between DFT and the Operating Partnership, there are sections in this report that discuss DFT and the Operating Partnership separately, including separate financial statements, controls and procedures sections, and Exhibit 31 and 32 certifications. In the sections that combine disclosure for DFT and the Operating Partnership, this report refers to actions or holdings as being actions or holdings of the Company. Although the Operating Partnership is generally the entity that enters into contracts, holds assets and issues debt, we believe that reference to the Company in this context is appropriate because the business is one enterprise and we operate the business through our Operating Partnership.

DUPONT FABROS TECHNOLOGY, INC. / DUPONT FABROS TECHNOLOGY, L.P. FORM 10-Q FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | PAGE NO. |
|----------------------|--|-----------|
| <u>PART I. FINAN</u> | ICIAL INFORMATION | <u>4</u> |
| <u>ITEM 1.</u> | Consolidated Financial Statements | <u>4</u> |
| | <u>DuPont Fabros Technology, Inc.</u> | <u>4</u> |
| | <u>DuPont Fabros Technology, L.P.</u> | <u>10</u> |
| | Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (DuPont Fabros Technology, Inc. and DuPont Fabros Technology, L.P.) | <u>16</u> |
| <u>ITEM 2.</u> | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | <u>45</u> |
| <u>ITEM 3.</u> | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk | <u>65</u> |
| <u>ITEM 4.</u> | Controls and Procedures | <u>65</u> |
| PART II. OTHE | ER INFORMATION | <u>66</u> |
| <u>ITEM 1.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>66</u> |
| <u>ITEM 1A.</u> | Risks Factors | <u>66</u> |
| <u>ITEM 2.</u> | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>67</u> |
| <u>ITEM 3.</u> | <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | <u>67</u> |
| <u>ITEM 4.</u> | Mine Safety Disclosures | <u>67</u> |
| <u>ITEM 5.</u> | Other Information | <u>67</u> |
| <u>ITEM 6.</u> | <u>Exhibits</u> | <u>68</u> |
| Signatures | | 69 |

PART 1—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DUPONT FABROS TECHNOLOGY, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (in thousands except share data)

| Contract Contract | 36 39 337) 302 339 |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Income producing property: \$ 103,183 \$ 94,7 Land \$ 2,942,410 2,736,9 Buildings and improvements 2,942,410 2,736,9 3,045,593 2,831, | 36 39 337) 302 339 |
| Land\$ 103,183\$ 94,7Buildings and improvements2,942,4102,736,93,045,5932,831, | 36 39 337) 302 339 |
| Buildings and improvements 2,942,410 2,736,9 3,045,593 2,831, | 36 39 337) 302 339 |
| 3,045,593 2,831, | 39 337) 302 339 |
| | 337) 302 339 |
| Loss accumulated depreciation (625.224) (560.9 | 02 039 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation (635,324) (560,3 Net income producing property 2,410,269 2,270,3 | 39 |
| | |
| Net real estate 2,692,453 2,571,3 | |
| | |
| | |
| | 88 |
| Deferred rent, net 124,139 128,5 | |
| | 29 |
| Deferred costs, net 26,752 23,7 | |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets 41,422 44, | |
| Total assets <u>\$ 2,964,800 \$ 2,815,4</u> | <u>.92</u> |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | |
| Liabilities: | |
| Line of credit \$ — \$ | |
| Mortgage notes payable, net of deferred financing costs 111,875 114,0 | |
| Unsecured term loan, net of deferred financing costs 248,983 249, | |
| Unsecured notes payable, net of discount and deferred financing costs 836,732 834,9 | 63 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities 31,671 32, | |
| Construction costs payable 46,549 22,0 | |
| Accrued interest payable 6,199 11,9 | |
| Dividend and distribution payable 43,678 43,9 | |
| | .32 |
| Prepaid rents and other liabilities 73,178 67,4 | |
| Total liabilities 1,402,009 1,379,8 | 90 |
| Redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership 569,662 479, | 89 |
| Commitments and contingencies — | |
| Stockholders' equity: | |
| Preferred stock, \$.001 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized: | |
| Series A cumulative redeemable perpetual preferred stock, no shares issued and | |
| outstanding at September 30, 2016 and 7,400,000 shares issued and outstanding at | 000 |
| December 31, 2015 — 185,0 | 00 |
| Series B cumulative redeemable perpetual preferred stock, no shares issued and | |
| outstanding at September 30, 2016 and 6,650,000 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2015 — 166,3 | 50 |
| December 51, 2015 | .50 |
| Series C cumulative redeemable perpetual preferred stock, 8,050,000 shares issued | |
| and outstanding at September 30, 2016 and no shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2015 201,250 | |
| December 51, 2015 | |
| Common stock, \$.001 par value, 250,000,000 shares authorized, 75,576,481 shares issued | |
| and outstanding at September 30, 2016 and 66,105,650 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2015 | 66 |
| , | |
| Additional paid in capital 792,004 685, | |
| Retained earnings (Accumulated deficit) — (79,9) | 43) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss (201) | 1.2 |
| Total stockholders' equity 993,129 956,4 | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity \(\frac{\\$ 2,964,800}{\} \) \(\frac{\\$ 2,815,4}{\} \) | 92 |

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (unaudited and in thousands except share and per share data)

| 2016 2015 2016 Revenues: | 2015 |
|---|------------|
| Pavenues: | |
| revenues. | |
| Base rent \$ 88,614 \$ 76,771 \$ 254,509 \$ | 221,046 |
| Recoveries from tenants 44,375 35,223 124,764 | 103,010 |
| Other revenues 1,337 3,343 7,740 | 12,421 |
| Total revenues 134,326 115,337 387,013 | 336,477 |
| Expenses: | |
| Property operating costs 39,214 33,209 113,102 | 94,362 |
| Real estate taxes and insurance 4,955 5,348 16,111 | 16,387 |
| Depreciation and amortization 27,493 26,433 79,659 | 77,645 |
| General and administrative 5,484 4,422 16,333 | 13,233 |
| Other expenses 800 2,947 6,342 | 15,752 |
| Total expenses 77,946 72,359 231,547 | 217,379 |
| Operating income 56,380 42,978 155,466 | 119,098 |
| Interest: | |
| Expense incurred (12,935) (11,681) (36,067) | (28,991) |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs (1,016) (904) (2,780) | (2,240) |
| Gain on sale of real estate (231) — 22,833 | _ |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt (1,232) — (1,232) | _ |
| Net income 40,966 30,393 138,220 | 87,867 |
| Net income attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership (5,144) (4,520) (18,089) | (12,901) |
| Net income attributable to controlling interests 35,822 25,873 120,131 | 74,966 |
| Preferred stock dividends (3,630) (6,811) (17,405) | (20,433) |
| Issuance costs associated with redeemed preferred stock (3,668) — (12,495) | _ |
| Net income attributable to common shares \$ 28,524 \$ 19,062 \$ 90,231 \$ | 54,533 |
| Earnings per share – basic: | |
| Net income attributable to common shares \$ 0.38 \$ 0.29 \$ 1.24 \$ | 0.83 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding 75,232,413 65,041,159 72,212,874 | 65,190,737 |
| Earnings per share – diluted: | |
| Net income attributable to common shares \$ 0.37 \\$ 0.29 \\$ 1.23 \\$ | 0.82 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding 76,095,994 65,561,891 73,072,127 | 65,918,976 |
| Dividends declared per common share \$ 0.47 \\$ 0.42 \\$ 1.41 \\$ | 1.26 |

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (unaudited and in thousands)

| | Three months ended September 30, | | | | Nine months ended September 30, | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------|----|---------|---------------------------------|----------|------|----------|
| | | 2016 2015 | | | 2016 | | 2015 | |
| Net income | \$ | 40,966 | \$ | 30,393 | \$ | 138,220 | \$ | 87,867 |
| Other comprehensive loss: | | | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | | (241) | | _ | | (241) | | _ |
| Comprehensive income | | 40,725 | | 30,393 | | 137,979 | | 87,867 |
| Net income attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership | | (5,144) | | (4,520) | | (18,089) | | (12,901) |
| Other comprehensive loss attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership | | 40 | | _ | | 40 | | _ |
| Comprehensive income attributable to controlling interests | | 35,621 | | 25,873 | | 119,930 | | 74,966 |
| Preferred stock dividends | | (3,630) | | (6,811) | | (17,405) | | (20,433) |
| Issuance costs associated with redeemed preferred stock | | (3,668) | | _ | | (12,495) | | _ |
| Comprehensive income attributable to common shares | \$ | 28,323 | \$ | 19,062 | \$ | 90,030 | \$ | 54,533 |

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (unaudited and in thousands except share data)

| | | | Additional | | Retained Earnings | | | | |
|--|--------------------|------------|------------|------|----------------------|----|------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| | Preferred Stock | Number | Am | ount | Paid-in Capital | (A | ccumulated Deficit) | Comprehensive Loss | Total |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | \$ 351,250 | 66,105,650 | \$ | 66 | \$685,042 | \$ | (79,945) | _ | \$ 956,413 |
| Net income attributable to controlling interests | | | | | | | 120,131 | | 120,131 |
| Other comprehensive loss attributable to controlling interests - foreign currency translation adjustments | | | | | | | | (201) | (201) |
| Issuance of common stock, net | | 7,613,000 | | 8 | 275,462 | | | | 275,470 |
| Dividends declared on common stock | | | | | (95,720) | | (10,286) | | (106,006) |
| Dividends earned on preferred stock | | | | | | | (17,405) | | (17,405) |
| Redemption of operating partnership units | | 1,263,565 | | 1 | 49,546 | | | | 49,547 |
| Issuance of preferred stock, net | 201,250 | | | | (6,998) | | | | 194,252 |
| Redemption of preferred stock | (351,250) | | | | 12,495 | | (12,495) | | (351,250) |
| Issuance of stock awards | | 227,430 | | | 810 | | | | 810 |
| Stock option exercises | | 478,733 | | 1 | 10,592 | | | | 10,593 |
| Retirement and forfeiture of stock awards | | (111,897) | | | (2,324) | | | | (2,324) |
| Amortization of deferred compensation costs | | | | | 5,046 | | | | 5,046 |
| Adjustments to redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership | | | | | (141,947) | | | | (141,947) |
| Balance at September 30, 2016 | \$ 201,250 | 75,576,481 | \$ | 76 | \$792,004 | \$ | <u> </u> | \$ (201) | \$ 993,129 |

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited and in thousands)

| | Ni | Nine months ended Septembe | | |
|--|----|----------------------------|----|-----------|
| | | 2016 | | 2015 |
| Cash flow from operating activities | | | | |
| Net income | \$ | 138,220 | \$ | 87,867 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 79,659 | | 77,645 |
| Gain on sale of real estate | | (22,833) | | _ |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt | | 1,232 | | _ |
| Straight-line revenues, net of reserve | | (1,174) | | 13,410 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | | 2,780 | | 2,240 |
| Amortization and write-off of lease contracts above and below market value | | (320) | | (763 |
| Compensation paid with Company common shares | | 4,871 | | 7,990 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities | | | | |
| Rents and other receivables | | (3,203) | | (492 |
| Deferred costs | | (3,649) | | (2,045 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | | (2,051) | | 1,741 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | | (1,139) | | 3,407 |
| Accrued interest payable | | (5,622) | | (4,136 |
| Prepaid rents and other liabilities | | 11,095 | | 4,526 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | | 197,866 | | 191,390 |
| Cash flow from investing activities | | | | , |
| Net proceeds from sale of real estate | | 123,545 | | _ |
| Investments in real estate – development | | (179,799) | | (154,165 |
| Acquisition of real estate | | (53,105) | | |
| Acquisition of real estate – related party | | (20,168) | | (8,600 |
| Interest capitalized for real estate under development | | (7,765) | | (8,557 |
| Improvements to real estate | | (3,972) | | (2,433 |
| Additions to non real estate property | | (1,012) | | (622 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | | (142,276) | | (174,377 |
| Cash flow from financing activities | | (112,270) | _ | (17.3,577 |
| Line of credit: | | | | |
| Proceeds | | 60,000 | | 120,000 |
| Repayments | | (60,000) | | (180,000 |
| Mortgage notes payable: | | (00,000) | | (100,000 |
| Repayments | | (2,500) | | |
| Unsecured notes payable: | | (2,300) | | |
| Proceeds | | _ | | 248,012 |
| Payments of financing costs | | (5,625) | | (4,730 |
| Issuance of common stock, net of offering costs | | 275,470 | | (4,730 |
| Issuance of preferred stock, net of offering costs | | 194,252 | | |
| Redemption of preferred stock | | (351,250) | | |
| Equity compensation proceeds (payments) | | 8,269 | | (7,611 |
| Common stock repurchases | | 0,209 | | (31,912 |
| Dividends and distributions: | | | | (31,912 |
| Common shares | | (101 555) | | (0) 665 |
| Preferred shares | | (101,555) | | (82,665 |
| | | (21,490) | | (20,433 |
| Redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership | | (20,570) | | (19,436 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | | (24,999) | | 21,225 |

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited and in thousands) (Continued)

| | Nine months ended September | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---------|----|-----------|
| | | 2016 | | 2015 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | | 30,591 | | 38,238 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | | 31,230 | | 29,598 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, ending of period | \$ | 61,821 | \$ | 67,836 |
| Supplemental information: | | | | |
| Cash paid for interest | \$ | 48,537 | \$ | 41,735 |
| Deferred financing costs capitalized for real estate under development | \$ | 445 | \$ | 584 |
| Construction costs payable capitalized for real estate under development | \$ | 46,549 | \$ | 21,534 |
| Redemption of operating partnership units | \$ | 49,547 | \$ | 598 |
| Adjustments to redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership | \$ | 141,947 | \$ | (106,959) |

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (in thousands except unit data)

| | September 30, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | (unaudited) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Income producing property: | | |
| Land | \$ 103,183 | \$ 94,203 |
| Buildings and improvements | 2,942,410 | 2,736,936 |
| | 3,045,593 | 2,831,139 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | (635,324) | (560,837) |
| Net income producing property Construction in progress and property held for development | 2,410,269 282,184 | 2,270,302 300,939 |
| Net real estate | 2,692,453 | 2,571,241 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 57,606 | 27,015 |
| Rents and other receivables, net | 12,852 | 9,588 |
| Deferred rent, net | 124,139 | 128,941 |
| Lease contracts above market value, net | 5,361 | 6,029 |
| Deferred costs, net | 26,752 | 23,774 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 41,422 | 44,689 |
| Total assets | \$ 2,960,585 | \$ 2,811,277 |
| LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL | | |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Line of credit | \$ — | \$ — |
| Mortgage notes payable, net of deferred financing costs | 111,875 | 114,075 |
| Unsecured term loan, net of deferred financing costs | 248,983 | 249,172 |
| Unsecured notes payable, net of discount and deferred financing costs | 836,732 | 834,963 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 31,671 | 32,301 |
| Construction costs payable Accrued interest payable | 46,549 6,199 | 22,043 11,821 |
| Dividend and distribution payable | 43,678 | 43,906 |
| Lease contracts below market value, net | 3,144 | 4,132 |
| Prepaid rents and other liabilities | 73,178 | 67,477 |
| Total liabilities | 1,402,009 | 1,379,890 |
| Redeemable partnership units | 569,662 | 479,189 |
| Commitments and contingencies | _ | _ |
| Partners' capital: | | |
| Limited partners' capital: | | |
| Series A cumulative redeemable perpetual preferred units, no units issued and outstanding at September 30, 2016 and 7,400,000 units issued and outstanding at December 31, 2015 | _ | 185,000 |
| Series B cumulative redeemable perpetual preferred units, no units issued and outstanding at September 30, 2016 and 6,650,000 units issued and outstanding at December 31, 2015 | _ | 166,250 |
| Series C cumulative redeemable perpetual preferred stock, 8,050,000 units issued and outstanding at September 30, 2016 and no units issued and outstanding at December 31, 2015 | 201,250 | _ |
| Common units, 74,914,108 units issued and outstanding at September 30, 2016 and 65,443,277 units issued and outstanding at December 31, 2015 | 780,761 | 594,927 |
| General partner's capital, common units, 662,373 issued and outstanding at September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 | 6,903 | 6,021 |
| Total partners' capital | 988,914 | 952,198 |
| Total liabilities and partners' capital | \$ 2,960,585 | \$ 2,811,277 |
| | | |

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (unaudited and in thousands except unit and per unit data)

| | Thr | Three months ended September 30, | | | Nine months ended September 30, | | | | |
|---|-----|----------------------------------|----|------------|---------------------------------|------------|----|------------|--|
| | | 2016 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2015 | |
| Revenues: | | | | | | | | | |
| Base rent | \$ | 88,614 | \$ | 76,771 | \$ | 254,509 | \$ | 221,046 | |
| Recoveries from tenants | | 44,375 | | 35,223 | | 124,764 | | 103,010 | |
| Other revenues | | 1,337 | | 3,343 | | 7,740 | | 12,421 | |
| Total revenues | | 134,326 | | 115,337 | | 387,013 | | 336,477 | |
| Expenses: | | | | | | | | | |
| Property operating costs | | 39,214 | | 33,209 | | 113,102 | | 94,362 | |
| Real estate taxes and insurance | | 4,955 | | 5,348 | | 16,111 | | 16,387 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 27,493 | | 26,433 | | 79,659 | | 77,645 | |
| General and administrative | | 5,484 | | 4,422 | | 16,333 | | 13,233 | |
| Other expenses | | 800 | | 2,947 | | 6,342 | | 15,752 | |
| Total expenses | | 77,946 | | 72,359 | | 231,547 | | 217,379 | |
| Operating income | | 56,380 | | 42,978 | | 155,466 | | 119,098 | |
| Interest: | | | | | | | | | |
| Expense incurred | | (12,935) | | (11,681) | | (36,067) | | (28,991) | |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | | (1,016) | | (904) | | (2,780) | | (2,240) | |
| Gain on sale of real estate | | (231) | | _ | | 22,833 | | _ | |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt | | (1,232) | | _ | | (1,232) | | _ | |
| Net income | | 40,966 | | 30,393 | | 138,220 | | 87,867 | |
| Preferred unit distributions | | (3,630) | | (6,811) | | (17,405) | | (20,433) | |
| Issuance costs associated with redeemed preferred units | | (3,668) | | _ | | (12,495) | | _ | |
| Net income attributable to common units | \$ | 33,668 | \$ | 23,582 | \$ | 108,320 | \$ | 67,434 | |
| Earnings per unit – basic: | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income attributable to common units | \$ | 0.38 | \$ | 0.29 | \$ | 1.24 | \$ | 0.83 | |
| Weighted average common units outstanding | 8 | 39,042,610 | | 80,460,396 | | 86,691,833 | | 80,610,303 | |
| Earnings per unit – diluted: | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income attributable to common units | \$ | 0.37 | \$ | 0.29 | \$ | 1.23 | \$ | 0.82 | |
| Weighted average common units outstanding | 8 | 39,906,191 | | 80,981,128 | | 87,551,086 | | 81,338,542 | |
| Distributions declared per common unit | \$ | 0.47 | \$ | 0.42 | \$ | 1.41 | \$ | 1.26 | |

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (unaudited and in thousands)

| | Three months ended September 30, | | | | | Nine months ended September 3 | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|---------|------|---------|----|-------------------------------|----|----------|--|
| | 2016 2015 | | 2016 | | | 2015 | | | |
| Net income | \$ | 40,966 | \$ | 30,393 | \$ | 138,220 | \$ | 87,867 | |
| Other comprehensive loss: | | | | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | | (241) | | _ | | (241) | | _ | |
| Comprehensive income | | 40,725 | | 30,393 | | 137,979 | | 87,867 | |
| Preferred unit distributions | | (3,630) | | (6,811) | | (17,405) | | (20,433) | |
| Issuance costs associated with redeemed preferred units | | (3,668) | | _ | | (12,495) | | _ | |
| Comprehensive income attributable to common units | \$ | 33,427 | \$ | 23,582 | \$ | 108,079 | \$ | 67,434 | |

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF PARTNERS' CAPITAL (unaudited and in thousands, except unit data)

| | Limi | ted Partners' Ca | pital | General Part | ner's Capital | |
|--|---------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------|
| | Preferred Amount | Common Units | Common Amount | Common Units | Common Amount | Total |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | \$ 351,250 | 65,443,277 | \$ 594,927 | 662,373 | \$ 6,021 | \$ 952,198 |
| Net income | | | 137,009 | | 1,211 | 138,220 |
| Other comprehensive loss - foreign currency translation adjustments | | | (239) | | (2) | (241) |
| Issuance of OP units for common stock offering, net | | 7,613,000 | 275,470 | | | 275,470 |
| Common unit distributions | | | (125,048) | | (934) | (125,982) |
| Preferred unit distributions | | | (17,252) | | (153) | (17,405) |
| Issuance of OP units to DFT when redeemable partnership units redeemed | | 1,263,565 | 49,547 | | | 49,547 |
| Issuance of OP units for preferred stock offering, net | 201,250 | | (6,998) | | | 194,252 |
| Redemption of OP units for preferred stock | (351,250) | | | | | (351,250) |
| Issuance of OP units for stock awards | | 227,430 | 810 | | | 810 |
| Issuance of OP units due to option exercises | | 478,733 | 10,593 | | | 10,593 |
| Retirement and forfeiture of OP units | | (111,897) | (2,324) | | | (2,324) |
| Amortization of deferred compensation costs | | | 5,046 | | | 5,046 |
| Adjustments to redeemable partnership units | | | (140,780) | | 760 | (140,020) |
| Balance at September 30, 2016 | \$ 201,250 | 74,914,108 | \$ 780,761 | 662,373 | \$ 6,903 | \$ 988,914 |

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited and in thousands)

| | Nine months ended September | | | ptember 30, |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------|----|-------------|
| | | 2016 | | 2015 |
| Cash flow from operating activities | | | | |
| Net income | \$ | 138,220 | \$ | 87,867 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 79,659 | | 77,645 |
| Gain on sale of real estate | | (22,833) | | _ |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt | | 1,232 | | _ |
| Straight-line rent, net of reserve | | (1,174) | | 13,410 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | | 2,780 | | 2,240 |
| Amortization of lease contracts above and below market value | | (320) | | (763) |
| Compensation paid with Company common shares | | 4,871 | | 7,990 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities | | | | |
| Rents and other receivables | | (3,203) | | (492) |
| Deferred costs | | (3,649) | | (2,045) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | | (2,051) | | 1,741 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | | (1,139) | | 3,407 |
| Accrued interest payable | | (5,622) | | (4,136) |
| Prepaid rents and other liabilities | | 11,095 | | 4,526 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | | 197,866 | | 191,390 |
| Cash flow from investing activities | | | | , |
| Proceeds from the sale of real estate | | 123,545 | | _ |
| Investments in real estate – development | | (179,799) | | (154,165) |
| Acquisition of real estate | | (53,105) | | _ |
| Acquisition of real estate – related party | | (20,168) | | (8,600) |
| Interest capitalized for real estate under development | | (7,765) | | (8,557) |
| Improvements to real estate | | (3,972) | | (2,433) |
| Additions to non real estate property | | (1,012) | | (622) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | | (142,276) | | (174,377) |
| Cash flow from financing activities | | | | |
| Line of credit: | | | | |
| Proceeds | | 60,000 | | 120,000 |
| Repayments | | (60,000) | | (180,000) |
| Mortgage notes payable: | | ()) | | |
| Repayments | | (2,500) | | |
| Unsecured notes payable: | | ()) | | |
| Proceeds | | _ | | 248,012 |
| Payments of financing costs | | (5,625) | | (4,730) |
| Issuance of common units, net of offering costs | | 275,470 | | _ |
| Issuance of preferred units, net of offering costs | | 194,252 | | _ |
| Redemption of preferred units | | (351,250) | | _ |
| Equity compensation proceeds (payments) | | 8,269 | | (7,611) |
| OP unit repurchases | | | | (31,912) |
| Distributions | | (143,615) | | (122,534) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | | (24,999) | | 21,225 |

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited and in thousands) (Continued)

| | Nine months ended September | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---------|----|-----------|--|
| | 2016 | | | 2015 | |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | | 30,591 | | 38,238 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | | 27,015 | | 25,380 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents, ending of period | \$ | 57,606 | \$ | 63,618 | |
| Supplemental information: | | | | | |
| Cash paid for interest | \$ | 48,537 | \$ | 41,735 | |
| Deferred financing costs capitalized for real estate under development | \$ | 445 | \$ | 584 | |
| Construction costs payable capitalized for real estate under development | \$ | 46,549 | \$ | 21,534 | |
| Redemption of operating partnership units | \$ | 49,547 | \$ | 598 | |
| Adjustments to redeemable partnership units | \$ | 140,020 | \$ | (113,486) | |

DUPONT FABROS TECHNOLOGY, INC. DUPONT FABROS TECHNOLOGY, L.P. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 (unaudited)

1. Description of Business

DuPont Fabros Technology, Inc., or DFT, through its controlling interest in DuPont Fabros Technology, L.P. (the "Operating Partnership" or "OP" and collectively with DFT and their operating subsidiaries, the "Company"), is a fully integrated, self-administered and self-managed company that owns, acquires, develops and operates wholesale data centers. DFT is a real estate investment trust, or REIT, for federal income tax purposes and is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, and as of September 30, 2016, owned 84.5% of the common economic interest in the Operating Partnership, of which 0.9% is held as general partnership units. Unless otherwise indicated or unless the context requires otherwise, all references in this report to "we," "us," "our," "our Company" or "the Company" refer to DFT and the Operating Partnership, collectively. As of September 30, 2016, we held a fee simple interest in the following properties:

- 11 operating data centers ACC2, ACC3, ACC4, ACC5, ACC6, ACC7 Phases I-III, VA3, VA4, CH1, CH2 Phases I-III, and SC1 Phases I-II;
- Five data center projects under development ACC7 Phase IV, ACC9 Phases I and II, CH2 Phase IV, and SC1 Phase III (formerly referred to as SC2);
- land that may be used to develop additional data centers ACC8, ACC10, ACC11, CH3, OR1 and OR2; and
- a shell building and associated land to develop TOR1.

In October 2016, we placed ACC7 Phase IV into service.

2. Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

This report combines the quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2016 of DuPont Fabros Technology, Inc. and DuPont Fabros Technology, L.P. References to "DFT" mean DuPont Fabros Technology, Inc. and its controlled subsidiaries; and references to the "Operating Partnership" or "OP" mean DuPont Fabros Technology, L.P. and its controlled subsidiaries.

We believe combining the quarterly reports on Form 10-Q of DFT and the Operating Partnership into this single report provides the following benefits:

- enhances investors' understanding of DFT and the Operating Partnership by enabling investors to view the business as a whole in the same manner as management views and operates the business;
- eliminates duplicative disclosure and provides a more streamlined and readable presentation since a substantial portion of the disclosure in this report applies to both DFT and the Operating Partnership; and
- creates time and cost efficiencies through the preparation of one combined report instead of two separate reports.

We operate DFT and the Operating Partnership as one business. The management of DFT consists of the same employees as the management of the Operating Partnership.

We believe it is important for investors to understand the few differences between DFT and the Operating Partnership in the context of how DFT and the Operating Partnership operate as a consolidated company. DFT is a REIT, whose only material asset is its ownership of OP units of the Operating Partnership. As a result, DFT does not conduct business itself, other than acting as the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, issuing public equity from time to time and guaranteeing unsecured debt of the Operating Partnership. DFT has not issued any indebtedness, but has guaranteed all of the unsecured debt of the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership, through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, holds all the real estate assets of the Company. Except for net proceeds from public equity issuances by DFT, which are contributed to the Operating Partnership in exchange for OP units or preferred units, the Operating Partnership generates all remaining capital required by our business. These sources include the Operating Partnership's operations, its direct or indirect incurrence of indebtedness, and the issuance of partnership units.

As sole general partner with control of the Operating Partnership, DFT consolidates the Operating Partnership for financial reporting purposes. The presentation of stockholders' equity and partners' capital are the main areas of difference between the consolidated financial statements of DFT and those of the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership's

capital includes preferred units and general and limited common units that are owned by DFT and the other partners. DFT's stockholders' equity includes preferred stock, common stock, additional paid in capital, retained earnings and accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). The common limited partnership interests held by the limited partners (other than DFT) in the Operating Partnership are presented as "redeemable partnership units" in the Operating Partnership's consolidated financial statements and as "redeemable noncontrolling interests-operating partnership" in DFT's consolidated financial statements. The only difference between the assets and liabilities of DFT and the Operating Partnership as of September 30, 2016 was a \$4.2 million bank account held by DFT that is not part of the Operating Partnership. Net income is the same for DFT and the Operating Partnership.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, these consolidated financial statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In our opinion, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contained elsewhere in this Form 10-Q and the audited financial statements and accompanying notes for the year ended December 31, 2015 contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, which contains a complete listing of our significant accounting policies.

We have one reportable segment consisting of investments in data centers located in the United States. All of our properties generate similar types of revenues and expenses related to customer rent and reimbursements and operating expenses. The delivery of our products is consistent across all properties and although services are provided to a range of customers, the types of services provided to them are limited to a few core principles. As such, the properties in our portfolio have similar economic characteristics and the nature of the products and services provided to our customers and the method to distribute such services are consistent throughout the portfolio.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Property

Depreciation on buildings is generally provided on a straight-line basis over 40 years from the date the buildings were placed in service. Building components are depreciated over the life of the respective improvement ranging from 10 to 40 years from the date the components were placed in service. Personal property is depreciated over three to seven years. Depreciation expense was \$26.4 million and \$25.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and \$76.4 million and \$73.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Repairs and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred.

We review each of our properties for indicators of impairment. Examples of such indicators may include a significant decrease in the market price of the property, a significant adverse change in the extent or manner in which the property is being used in its physical condition, a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate that could affect the value of a property, including an adverse action or assessment by a regulator, an accumulation of costs significantly in excess of the amount originally expected for the development of a property, a history of operating or cash flow losses of the property or a current expectation that, more likely than not, a property will be sold or otherwise disposed of significantly before the end of its previously estimated useful life. When such impairment indicators exist, we review an estimate of the future undiscounted net cash flows expected to result from the real estate investment's use and eventual disposition and compare that estimate to the carrying value of the property. We assess the recoverability of the carrying value of our assets on a property-by-property basis. We consider factors such as future operating income, trends and prospects, as well as the effects of leasing demand, competition, potential sales proceeds and other factors. If our undiscounted cash flow evaluation indicates that we are unable to recover the carrying value of a real estate investment, an impairment loss is recorded to the extent that the carrying value exceeds the estimated fair value of the property. No impairment losses were recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015.

We classify a data center property as held-for-sale when it meets the necessary criteria, which include when we commit to and actively embark on a plan to sell the asset, the sale is expected to be completed within one year under terms usual and

customary for such sales, and actions required to complete the plan indicate that it is unlikely that significant changes to the plan will be made or that the plan will be withdrawn. Data center properties held-for-sale are carried at the lower of cost or fair value less costs to sell. As of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, we did not have any properties classified as held-for-sale.

Deferred Costs

Deferred costs, net in our accompanying consolidated balance sheets include both financing and leasing costs.

Financing costs, which represent fees and other costs incurred in obtaining debt, are amortized using the effective-interest rate method, or a method that approximates the effective-interest method, over the term of the loan and are included in amortization of deferred financing costs.

Balances of financing costs for our unsecured revolving credit facility, or Unsecured Credit Facility, net of accumulated amortization, which are presented within deferred costs, net in our accompanying consolidated balance sheets at September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 were as follows (in thousands):

| Financing costs presented within deferred costs, net | Sep | tember 30, 2016 | Dec | ember 31, 2015 |
|--|-----|--------------------|-----|-------------------|
| Financing costs | \$ | 12,095 | \$ | 8,198 |
| Accumulated amortization | | (5,963) | | (4,969) |
| Financing costs, net | \$ | 6,132 | \$ | 3,229 |

Balances of financing costs for our other recognized debt liabilities, net of accumulated amortization, which are presented as a reduction of each of the respective recognized debt liabilities in our accompanying consolidated balance sheets at September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 were as follows (in thousands):

| Financing costs presented as a reduction of debt liability balances | Sep | tember 30, 2016 | Dec | cember 31, 2015 |
|---|-----|--------------------|-----|--------------------|
| Financing costs | \$ | 20,423 | \$ | 20,531 |
| Accumulated amortization | | (7,235) | | (5,618) |
| Financing costs, net | \$ | 13,188 | \$ | 14,913 |

On July 25, 2016, we amended and restated our Unsecured Credit Facility and Unsecured Term Loan, which resulted in a loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$1.2 million in the third quarter of 2016.

Leasing costs, which consist of external fees and costs incurred in the successful negotiation of leases, internal costs expended in the successful negotiation of leases and the estimated leasing commissions resulting from the allocation of the purchase price of ACC2, VA3, VA4 and ACC4, are deferred and amortized over the terms of the applicable leases on a straight-line basis. If an applicable lease terminates prior to the expiration of its initial term, the carrying amount of the leasing costs are written off to amortization expense. In June 2015, we wrote off \$0.7 million of unamortized leasing costs to amortization expense related to a former customer in bankruptcy whose leases with us were rejected effective July 1, 2015 pursuant to an order issued by the bankruptcy court. Leasing costs incurred for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 were as follows (in thousands):

| | Three months ended September 30, | | | Nine months ended September 30, | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------|----|------------------------------------|----|-------|----|-------|
| | | 2016 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2015 |
| Leasing costs incurred for new leases | \$ | 183 | \$ | 5 | \$ | 3,453 | \$ | 889 |
| Leasing costs incurred for renewals | | _ | | 9 | | 195 | | 1,156 |
| Total leasing costs incurred | \$ | 183 | \$ | 14 | \$ | 3,648 | \$ | 2,045 |

Amortization of deferred leasing costs totaled \$1.0 million for each of the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 and \$3.1 million and \$3.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Balances, net of accumulated amortization, at September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 were as follows (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2016 | | Dec | cember 31, 2015 |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------|-----|--------------------|
| Leasing costs | \$ | 53,313 | \$ | 50,503 |
| Accumulated amortization | | (32,693) | | (29,958) |
| Leasing costs, net | \$ | 20,620 | \$ | 20,545 |

Inventory

We maintain fuel inventory for our generators, which is recorded at the lower of cost (on a first-in, first-out basis) or market. As of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the fuel inventory was \$4.2 million and \$4.5 million, respectively and is included in prepaid expenses and other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Rental Income

We, as a lessor, have retained substantially all the risks and benefits of ownership and account for our leases as operating leases. For lease agreements that provide for scheduled fixed and determinable rent increases, rental income is recognized on a straight-line basis over the non-cancellable term of the lease, which commences when control of the space and critical power have been provided to the customer. If the lease contains an early termination clause with a penalty payment, we determine the lease termination date by evaluating whether the penalty reasonably assures that the lease will not be terminated early.

Straight-line rents receivable are included in deferred rent, net in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Lease inducements, which include cash payments to customers, are amortized as a reduction of rental income over the non-cancellable lease term. Lease inducements are included in prepaid expenses and other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Lease intangible assets and liabilities that have resulted from above-market and below-market leases that were acquired are amortized on a straight-line basis as decreases and increases, respectively, to rental revenue over the remaining non-cancellable term of the underlying leases. If a lease terminates prior to the expiration of its initial term, the unamortized portion of straight-line rents receivable, lease inducements and lease intangibles associated with that lease will be written off to rental revenue.

Balances, net of accumulated amortization, at September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 were as follows (in thousands):

| | Sep | September 30, 2016 | | cember 31, 2015 |
|---|-----|-----------------------|----|--------------------|
| Lease contracts above market value | \$ | 20,500 | \$ | 20,500 |
| Accumulated amortization | | (15,139) | | (14,471) |
| Lease contracts above market value, net | \$ | 5,361 | \$ | 6,029 |
| | | | | |
| Lease contracts below market value | \$ | 24,175 | \$ | 24,175 |
| Accumulated amortization | | (21,031) | | (20,043) |
| Lease contracts below market value, net | \$ | 3,144 | \$ | 4,132 |

Our policy is to record a reserve for losses on accounts receivable equal to the estimated uncollectible accounts. The estimate is based on our historical experience and a review of the current status of our receivables. As of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, we had a note receivable from a former customer in bankruptcy of \$6.5 million, which is recorded within rents and other receivables, net in our accompanying consolidated balance sheets. As of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, we had a reserve of \$5.1 million, including interest applied to principal, leaving a net balance of \$1.4 million as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015. We continue to be reasonably assured that we will be able to collect the balance of the note receivable, net of reserve, as a claim in the bankruptcy.

We also establish an appropriate allowance for doubtful accounts for receivables arising from the straight-lining of rents. These receivables arise from revenue recognized in excess of amounts currently due under leases and are recorded as deferred rent in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. As of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, we had reserves against deferred rent of \$0 and \$0.1 million, respectively.

Our customer leases generally contain provisions under which the customers reimburse us for a portion of operating expenses and real estate taxes incurred by the property. Recoveries from tenants are included in revenue in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations in the period the applicable expenditures are incurred. The majority of our customer

leases also provide us with a property management fee based on a percentage of base rent collected and property-level operating expenses, other than charges for power used by customers to run their servers and cool their space. Property management fees are included in base rent in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations in the applicable period in which they are earned.

Other Revenue

Other revenue primarily consists of services provided to customers on a non-recurring basis. This includes projects such as the purchase and installation of circuits, racks, circuit breakers and other customer requested items. Revenue is recognized on a completed contract basis when the project is finished and ready for the customer's use. This method is consistently applied for all periods presented. Costs of providing these services are included in other expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests – Operating Partnership / Redeemable Partnership Units

Redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership, as presented on DFT's consolidated balance sheets, represent the OP units held by individuals and entities other than DFT. These interests are also presented on the Operating Partnership's consolidated balance sheets, referred to as "redeemable partnership units." Accordingly, the following discussion related to redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership of DFT refers equally to redeemable partnership units of the Operating Partnership.

Redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership, which require cash payment, or allow settlement in shares, but with the ability to deliver the shares outside of the control of DFT, are reported outside of the permanent equity section of the consolidated balance sheets of DFT and the Operating Partnership. Redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership are adjusted for income, losses and distributions allocated to OP units not held by DFT (normal noncontrolling interest accounting amount). Adjustments to redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership are recorded to reflect increases or decreases in the ownership of the Operating Partnership by holders of OP units, including the redemptions of OP units for cash or in exchange for shares of DFT's common stock. If such adjustments result in redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership being recorded at less than the redemption value of the OP units, redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership are further adjusted to their redemption value. See Note 6. Redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership are recorded at the greater of the normal noncontrolling interest accounting amount or redemption value. The following is a summary of activity for redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 (dollars in thousands):

| | OP Units | | |
|---|-------------|----|----------|
| | Number | | Amount |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | 15,073,563 | \$ | 479,189 |
| Net income attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership | | | 18,089 |
| Other comprehensive loss attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership - foreign currency translation adjustments | | | (40) |
| Distributions declared | | | (19,976) |
| Redemption of operating partnership units | (1,263,565) | | (49,547) |
| Adjustments to redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership | | | 141,947 |
| Balance at September 30, 2016 | 13,809,998 | \$ | 569,662 |
| | | | |

The following is a summary of activity for redeemable partnership units for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 (dollars in thousands):

| | OP | Units |
|---|-------------|------------|
| | Number | Amount |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | 15,073,563 | \$ 479,189 |
| Redemption of operating partnership units | (1,263,565) | (49,547) |
| Adjustments to redeemable partnership units | _ | 140,020 |
| Balance at September 30, 2016 | 13,809,998 | \$ 569,662 |
| | | :==== |

Net income is allocated to controlling interests and redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership in accordance with the limited partnership agreement of the Operating Partnership. The following is a summary of net income

attributable to controlling interests and transfers to redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 (dollars in thousands):

| | Three months ended September 30, | | | | Ni | ne months end | ed Se | ptember 30, |
|--|----------------------------------|---------|------|--------|----|---------------|-------|-------------|
| | | 2016 | 2015 | | | 2016 | | 2015 |
| Net income attributable to controlling interests | \$ | 35,822 | \$ | 25,873 | \$ | 120,131 | \$ | 74,966 |
| Transfers from noncontrolling interests: | | | | | | | | |
| Net change in the Company's common stock and additional paid in capital due to the redemption of OP units and other adjustments to redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership | | 85,557 | | 53,091 | | (92,400) | | 107,557 |
| | \$ | 121,379 | \$ | 78,964 | \$ | 27,731 | \$ | 182,523 |

Earnings Per Share of DFT

Basic earnings per share is calculated by dividing the net income attributable to common shares for the period by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period using the two class method. Diluted earnings per share is calculated by dividing the net income attributable to common shares for the period by the weighted average number of common and dilutive securities outstanding during the period using the two class method.

Earnings Per Unit of the Operating Partnership

Basic earnings per unit is calculated by dividing the net income attributable to common units for the period by the weighted average number of common units outstanding during the period using the two class method. Diluted earnings per unit is calculated by dividing the net income attributable to common units for the period by the weighted average number of common and dilutive securities outstanding during the period using the two class method.

Stock-based Compensation

We periodically award stock-based compensation to employees and members of our Board of Directors in the form of common stock, restricted common stock, options and performance units. For each common stock award granted by DFT, the OP issues an equivalent common unit, which may be referred to herein as a common share, common stock, or a common unit. We estimate the fair value of the awards and recognize this value over the requisite service period. The fair value of restricted stock-based compensation is based on the market value of DFT's common stock on the date of the grant. The fair value of options to purchase common stock is based on the Black-Scholes model. The fair value of performance units is based on a Monte Carlo simulation.

Foreign Currency

The U.S. dollar is the functional currency of our consolidated operations in the United States. The functional currency of our consolidated entities outside of the United States is the principal currency of the economic environment in which the entity primarily generates and expends cash. We translate the financial statements of consolidated entities whose functional currency is not the U.S. dollar into U.S. dollars. We translate assets and liabilities at the exchange rate in effect as of the financial statement date and translate income statement accounts using the weighted average exchange rate for the period. We include foreign currency translation adjustments and the effect of exchange rate changes on intercompany transactions of a long-term investment nature as a separate component of stockholders' equity or partners' capital. We report gains and losses from the effect of rate changes on intercompany receivables and payables that are not of a long-term investment nature, as well as gains and losses from remeasuring U.S. dollar transactions for non-U.S. functional currency entities, in other expenses on our consolidated statements of operations. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, we had less than \$0.1 million of foreign currency transaction losses.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued Accounting Standard Update No. 2014-09 - Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). The standard's core principle is that a company will recognize revenue when it transfers promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the company expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. We will be required to apply the new standard in the first quarter of 2018, and although the standard does not apply to leases, we have assessed the impact on our financial position and results of operations. The standard will change our method of recognizing revenue on service and installation contracts included in other revenue in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations from the completed contract method to a method that recognizes revenue over the course of the contract based on the goods or services transferred to date relative to the remaining

goods or services promised under the contract. We expect that the result of this change will have an immaterial effect on our financial position or results of operations. We currently do not believe the standard will have a material impact on how we recognize revenues from our recoveries from tenants in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

In August 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-15 - Presentation of Financial Statements - Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40): Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern. Under this guidance, management will be required to perform a going concern evaluation similar to the auditor's evaluation required by standards issued by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. This evaluation will be required for both annual and interim reporting periods. We will be required to apply the new standard in the fourth quarter of 2016 and do not believe that the new standard will have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

In February 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2016-02 - Leases (Topic 842). We will be required to apply the new standard in the first quarter of 2019. The standard does not fundamentally change the lessor accounting model, and we do not believe that the new standard will have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In June 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2016-03 - Financial Instruments - Credit Losses (Topic 326). Under this guidance, a company will be required to use a new forward-looking "expected loss" model for trade and other receivables that generally will result in the earlier recognition of allowances for losses. We will be required to apply the new standard in the first quarter of 2020 and do not believe that the new standard will have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2015, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2015-02 - Consolidation: Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis, which amends the criteria for determining variable interest entities ("VIEs"), amends the criteria for determining if a service provider possesses a variable interest in a VIE, and eliminates the presumption that a general partner should consolidate a limited partnership. The guidance was effective in the first quarter of 2016. We adopted this standard as of January 1, 2016, and there was no material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

In March 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2016-09, Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting. The new guidance affects two areas of our accounting. First, the guidance increases the amount an employer can withhold to cover income taxes on awards and still qualify for the exception to liability classification for shares used to satisfy the employer's statutory income tax withholding obligation. An employer will be allowed to withhold shares up to the amount of tax potentially owed using the maximum statutory tax rate in each jurisdiction. Second, companies now have the ability to make a policy election to account for forfeitures as they occur versus recording a forfeiture estimate. We early-adopted this standard as of January 1, 2016. We elected to account for forfeitures as they occur versus recording a forfeiture estimate. Per the guidance, we used a modified retrospective transition method of adoption, with a cumulative effect adjustment to accumulated deficit on our consolidated balance sheet as December 31, 2015 totaling less than \$0.1 million (see below).

Change in Accounting Principle

As required by the new share-based payment accounting guidance issued in March 2016, described above, we made a cumulative-effect adjustment to accumulated deficit to eliminate the forfeiture estimate recorded as of December 31, 2015, with a corresponding adjustment to additional paid in capital. The following table presents the prior period amounts that have been impacted by the new guidance and retrospectively adjusted on the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2015 for DFT (dollars in thousands):

| | As of December 31, 2015 | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|------|----|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | s Previously Reported Impact of Change in Accounting Principle | | | Adjusted and rently Reported | | | |
| Additional paid in capital | \$ 684,968 | 74 | \$ | 685,042 | | | |
| Accumulated deficit | (79,871) | (74) | | (79,945) | | | |

Because the consolidated balance sheet for the Operating Partnership includes capital accounts for the general partner and the limited partners, which each include a combination of partner capital and retained earnings (accumulated deficit), there was no adjustment required for the consolidated balance sheet for the Operating Partnership as of December 31, 2015.

3. Real Estate Assets

The following is a summary of our properties as of September 30, 2016 (dollars in thousands):

| Property | Location | Land | uildings and nprovements | in and | nstruction Progress Land Held for velopment | T | otal Cost |
|--|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------|---|------|-----------|
| ACC2 | Ashburn, VA | \$ 2,500 | \$ 156,459 | | | \$ | 158,959 |
| ACC3 | Ashburn, VA | 1,071 | 96,080 | | | | 97,151 |
| ACC4 | Ashburn, VA | 6,600 | 538,652 | | | | 545,252 |
| ACC5 | Ashburn, VA | 6,443 | 299,016 | | | | 305,459 |
| ACC6 | Ashburn, VA | 5,518 | 216,697 | | | | 222,215 |
| ACC7 Phases I-III | Ashburn, VA | 7,663 | 265,034 | | | | 272,697 |
| VA3 | Reston, VA | 9,000 | 179,498 | | | | 188,498 |
| VA4 | Bristow, VA | 6,800 | 149,553 | | | | 156,353 |
| CH1 | Elk Grove Village, IL | 23,611 | 359,156 | | | | 382,767 |
| CH2 Phases I-III | Elk Grove Village, IL | 13,775 | 249,181 | | | | 262,956 |
| SC1 Phases I-II | Santa Clara, CA | 20,202 | 433,084 | | | | 453,286 |
| | | 103,183 | 2,942,410 | | | 3 | 3,045,593 |
| Construction in progress and land held for development (1) | | | | | 282,184 | | 282,184 |
| | | \$ 103,183 | \$ 2,942,410 | \$ | 282,184 | \$ 3 | 3,327,777 |

(1) Properties located in Ashburn, VA (ACC7 Phase IV, ACC8, ACC9, ACC10, and ACC11), Elk Grove Village, IL (CH2 Phase IV and CH3), Santa Clara, CA (SC1 Phase III, formerly referred to as SC2), Hillsboro, OR (OR1 and OR2) and Vaughan, ON (TOR1).

In February 2016, we acquired two parcels of undeveloped land in Ashburn, Virginia from entities controlled by our Chairman of the Board and our former CEO. One parcel is a 35.4 acre site that we purchased for \$15.6 million, which we are using for the current development of our ACC9 data center facility and the future development of a data center to be known as ACC10. The managers of the entity that sold us this site are a limited liability company owned solely by our Chairman of the Board, which also owns approximately 7% of the seller, and a limited liability company owned solely by our former CEO, which also owns approximately 1% of the seller. The other parcel is an 8.6 acre site that we purchased for \$4.6 million. This parcel is being held for the future development of either a powered base shell or build-to-suit data center to be known as ACC11. Our Chairman of the Board and our former CEO are the managers of the limited liability company that manages the entity that sold us this site. Our Chairman of the Board directly and indirectly owns approximately 23% of the seller, and our former CEO directly and indirectly owns approximately 18% of the seller. In addition, Frederic V. Malek, one of our independent directors, is a non-managing member of the entity that owned this site.

In June 2016, we completed the sale of our NJ1 data center for a gross purchase price of \$125.0 million.

In July 2016, we completed the acquisition of a 46.7 acre parcel of land in Hillsboro, Oregon for a purchase price of \$11.2 million. This acquisition was treated as an asset purchase under GAAP. We are holding this parcel of land for future development in connection with our expansion plans.

In July 2016, we entered into an agreement to acquire a 20.6 acre parcel of land in the Greater Toronto Area of Ontario, Canada for a purchase price of \$12.9 million CAD (approximately \$10.0 million USD).

In September 2016, we completed the acquisition of a shell building and associated land in Vaughan, Ontario for a purchase price of \$54.3 million CAD (\$41.6 million USD). This acquisition was treated as an asset purchase under GAAP. We are holding this parcel of land and shell building for future development in connection with our expansion plans.

In October 2016, we placed ACC7 Phase IV into service.

4. Debt

Debt Summary as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 (\$ in thousands)

| | | December 31, 2015 | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------|--|----------|-----------------------|--------------|
| | Amounts (1) | % of Total | Rates | Maturities (years) | Amounts |
| Secured | \$ 112,500 | 9% | 2.1% | 1.5 | \$ 115,000 |
| Unsecured | 1,100,000 | 91% | 4.9% | 5.4 | 1,100,000 |
| Total | \$ 1,212,500 | 100% | 4.7% | 5.1 | \$ 1,215,000 |
| Fixed Rate Debt: | | | | | |
| Unsecured Notes due 2021 | \$ 600,000 | 49% | 5.9% | 5.0 | \$ 600,000 |
| Unsecured Notes due 2023 (2) | 250,000 | 21% | 5.6% | 6.7 | 250,000 |
| Fixed Rate Debt | \$ 850,000 | 70% | 5.8% | 5.5 | \$ 850,000 |
| Floating Rate Debt: | | | | | |
| Unsecured Credit Facility | _ | <u> % </u> | <u> </u> | 3.8 | _ |
| Unsecured Term Loan | 250,000 | 21% | 2.0% | 5.3 | 250,000 |
| ACC3 Term Loan | 112,500 | 9% | 2.1% | 1.5 | 115,000 |
| Floating Rate Debt | 362,500 | 30% | 2.0% | 4.1 | 365,000 |
| Total | \$ 1,212,500 | 100% | 4.7% | 5.1 | \$ 1,215,000 |
| | | | | | |

- (1) Principal amounts exclude deferred financing costs.
- (2) Principal amount excludes original issue discount of \$1.7 million.

Outstanding Indebtedness

Unsecured Credit Facility and Unsecured Term Loan

On July 25, 2016, we entered into an amended and restated credit agreement with a syndicate of banks (the "Amended and Restated Credit Agreement") that includes the following:

- an unsecured revolving credit facility with a total commitment of \$750 million (the "Unsecured Credit Facility"); and
- an unsecured term loan facility, which has a total commitment and amount outstanding of \$250 million (the ("Unsecured Term Loan").

At our option, we may increase the total commitment under the Unsecured Credit Facility and the Unsecured Term Loan to \$1.25 billion, if one or more lenders commit to being a lender for the additional amount and certain other customary conditions are met.

Prior to July 25, 2016, the Unsecured Credit Facility and the Unsecured Term Loan were outstanding under separate credit agreements.

The obligations under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement are unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a senior unsecured basis by DFT and all of the Operating Partnership's subsidiaries that currently guaranty the obligations under the Unsecured Notes due 2021, listed below. Prior to July 25, 2016, the separate credit agreements that governed the Unsecured Credit Facility and the Unsecured Term Loan provided for substantially the same guarantees as the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement. We may prepay the Unsecured Credit Facility and Unsecured Term Loan at any time, in whole or in part, without penalty or premium.

The Amended and Restated Credit Agreement requires that DFT, the Operating Partnership and their subsidiaries comply with various covenants, including with respect to restrictions on liens, incurring indebtedness, making investments, effecting mergers and/or asset sales, and certain limits on dividend payments, distributions and purchases of DFT's stock. In addition, the facility imposes financial maintenance covenants relating to, among other things, the following matters:

unsecured debt not exceeding 60% of the value of unencumbered assets, subject to an increase up to 65% following a
material acquisition;

- net operating income generated from unencumbered properties divided by the amount of unsecured debt (net of unrestricted cash and cash equivalents) being not less than 12.5%, subject to a decrease to not less than 10% following a material acquisition;
- total indebtedness not exceeding 60% of gross asset value, subject to an increase up to 65% following a material acquisition;
- fixed charge coverage ratio being not less than 1.70 to 1.00; and
- tangible net worth being not less than \$2.3 billion plus 75% of the sum of (i) net equity offering proceeds after July 25, 2016 (but excluding such net offering proceeds that are used within ninety (90) days following the consummation of the applicable equity offering for permitted equity redemptions) and (ii) the value of equity interests issued in connection with a contribution of assets to the Borrower or its subsidiaries; and
- until an investment grade unsecured debt credit rating has been achieved, unhedged variable rate debt not exceeding 30% of gross asset value.

The Amended and Restated Credit Agreement includes customary events of default, the occurrence of which, following any applicable cure period, would permit the lenders to, among other things, declare the principal, accrued interest and other obligations of the Operating Partnership under the facility to be immediately due and payable.

We were in compliance with all covenants under the Unsecured Credit Facility and the Unsecured Term Loan as of September 30, 2016.

The Unsecured Credit Facility matures on July 25, 2020.

We may elect to have borrowings under the Unsecured Credit Facility bear interest at either LIBOR or a base rate, which is based on the lender's prime rate, in each case plus an applicable margin. Prior to our receiving an investment grade credit rating, the applicable margin added to LIBOR and the base rate is based on the table below.

| | | Applicable | Margin |
|---------------|---|------------------|-----------------|
| Pricing Level | Ratio of Total Indebtedness to Gross Asset Value | LIBOR Rate Loans | Base Rate Loans |
| Level 1 | Less than or equal to 35% | 1.55% | 0.55% |
| Level 2 | Greater than 35% but less than or equal to 40% | 1.65% | 0.65% |
| Level 3 | Greater than 40% but less than or equal to 45% | 1.80% | 0.80% |
| Level 4 | Greater than 45% but less than or equal to 52.5% | 1.95% | 0.95% |
| Level 5 | Greater than 52.5% | 2.15% | 1.15% |

The applicable margin is currently set at pricing Level 1. The terms of the Unsecured Credit Facility provide for the adjustment of the applicable margin from time to time according to the ratio of the Operating Partnership's total indebtedness to gross asset value in effect from time to time.

In the event we receive an investment grade credit rating, borrowings under the Unsecured Credit Facility will bear interest based on the table below.

| | | Applicable Margin | | |
|----------------------------|---|-------------------|-----------------|--|
| Credit Rating Level | Credit Rating | LIBOR Rate Loans | Base Rate Loans | |
| Level 1 | Greater than or equal to A- by S&P or A3 by Moody's | 0.85% | 0.00% | |
| Level 2 | Greater than or equal to BBB+ by S&P or Baa1 by Moody's | 0.90% | 0.00% | |
| Level 3 | Greater than or equal to BBB by S&P or Baa2 by Moody's | 1.00% | 0.00% | |
| Level 4 | Greater than or equal to BBB- by S&P or Baa3 by Moody's | 1.20% | 0.20% | |
| Level 5 | Less than BBB- by S&P or Baa3 by Moody's | 1.55% | 0.55% | |

Following the receipt of such investment grade rating, the terms of the Unsecured Credit Facility provide for the adjustment of the applicable margin from time to time according to the rating then in effect.

The amount available for borrowings under the Unsecured Credit Facility is determined according to a calculation comparing the value of certain unencumbered properties designated by the Operating Partnership at such time relative to the amount of the Operating Partnership's unsecured debt. Up to \$35 million of the borrowings under the Unsecured Credit Facility may be used for letters of credit.

As of September 30, 2016, we had no letters of credit outstanding and no borrowings outstanding under this Unsecured Credit Facility.

The Unsecured Term Loan matures on January 21, 2022.

Under the terms of the Unsecured Term Loan, we may elect to have borrowings under the loan bear interest at either LIBOR or a base rate, which is based on the lender's prime rate, in each case plus an applicable margin. Prior to our receiving an investment grade credit rating, the applicable margin added to LIBOR and the base rate is based on the table below.

| | | Applicable | Margin |
|----------------------|---|------------------|-----------------|
| Pricing Level | Ratio of Total Indebtedness to Gross Asset Value | LIBOR Rate Loans | Base Rate Loans |
| Level 1 | Less than or equal to 35% | 1.50% | 0.50% |
| Level 2 | Greater than 35% but less than or equal to 40% | 1.60% | 0.60% |
| Level 3 | Greater than 40% but less than or equal to 45% | 1.75% | 0.75% |
| Level 4 | Greater than 45% but less than or equal to 52.5% | 1.90% | 0.90% |
| Level 5 | Greater than 52.5% | 2.10% | 1.10% |

The applicable margin is currently set at pricing Level 1. The terms of the Unsecured Term Loan also provide that, in the event we receive an investment grade credit rating, borrowings under the loan will bear interest based on the table below.

| | | Applicable | Margin |
|---------------------|---|------------------|-----------------|
| Credit Rating Level | Credit Rating | LIBOR Rate Loans | Base Rate Loans |
| Level 1 | Greater than or equal to A- by S&P or A3 by Moody's | 0.825% | 0.00% |
| Level 2 | Greater than or equal to BBB+ by S&P or Baa1 by Moody's | 0.875% | 0.00% |
| Level 3 | Greater than or equal to BBB by S&P or Baa2 by Moody's | 1.00% | 0.00% |
| Level 4 | Greater than or equal to BBB- by S&P or Baa3 by Moody's | 1.25% | 0.25% |
| Level 5 | Less than BBB- by S&P or Baa3 by Moody's | 1.65% | 0.65% |

Following the receipt of such investment grade rating, the terms of the loan provide for the adjustment of the applicable margin from time to time according to the rating then in effect.

ACC3 Term Loan

We have a \$112.5 million term loan facility, the ACC3 Term Loan, that is secured by our ACC3 data center facility and an assignment of the lease agreement between us and the customer of ACC3. The borrower, one of our subsidiaries, may elect to have borrowings under the ACC3 Term Loan bear interest at (i) LIBOR plus 1.55% or (ii) a base rate, which is based on the lender's prime rate, plus 0.55%. The interest rate is currently at LIBOR plus 1.55%. The ACC3 Term Loan matures on March 27, 2018, and we may prepay the ACC3 Term Loan at any time, in whole or in part, without penalty or premium. The Operating Partnership has guaranteed the outstanding principal amount of the ACC3 Term Loan, plus interest and certain costs under the loan.

The ACC3 Term Loan imposes financial maintenance covenants relating to, among other things, the following matters:

- consolidated total indebtedness of the Operating Partnership not exceeding 60% of gross asset value of the Operating Partnership;
- fixed charge coverage ratio of the Operating Partnership being not less than 1.70 to 1.00;
- tangible net worth of the Operating Partnership being not less than \$1.3 billion plus 80% of the sum of (i) net equity offering proceeds and (ii) the value of equity interests issued in connection with a contribution of assets to the Operating Partnership or its subsidiaries; and
- debt service coverage ratio of the borrower not less than 1.50 to 1.00.

We were in compliance with all of the covenants under the ACC3 Term Loan as of September 30, 2016.

Unsecured Notes due 2021

On September 24, 2013, the Operating Partnership completed the sale of \$600 million of 5.875% senior unsecured notes due 2021, which we refer to as the Unsecured Notes due 2021. The Unsecured Notes due 2021 were issued at face value and mature on September 15, 2021. We pay interest on the Unsecured Notes due 2021 semi-annually, in arrears, on March 15th and September 15th of each year.

The Unsecured Notes due 2021 are unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a senior unsecured basis by DFT and certain of the Operating Partnership's subsidiaries, including the subsidiaries that own the ACC2, ACC4, ACC5, ACC6, VA3, VA4, CH1 and SC1 data centers (collectively, the "Subsidiary Guarantors"), but excluding the subsidiaries that own the ACC3, ACC7, ACC9 and CH2 data centers, the ACC8, ACC10, ACC11, CH3, OR1 and OR2 parcels of land, the TOR1 shell building and land, our taxable REIT subsidiary, DF Technical Services LLC and our property management subsidiary, DF Property Management LLC.

The Unsecured Notes due 2021 rank (i) equally in right of payment with all of the Operating Partnership's existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness, (ii) senior in right of payment with all of its existing and future subordinated indebtedness, (iii) effectively subordinate to any of the Operating Partnership's existing and future secured indebtedness and (iv) effectively junior to any liabilities of any subsidiaries of the Operating Partnership that do not guarantee the Unsecured Notes due 2021. The guarantees of the Unsecured Notes due 2021 by DFT and the Subsidiary Guarantors rank (i) equally in right of payment with such guarantor's existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness, (ii) senior in right of payment with all of such guarantor's existing and future subordinated indebtedness and (iii) effectively subordinate to any of such guarantor's existing and future secured indebtedness.

The Unsecured Notes due 2021 may be redeemed at the Operating Partnership's option, in whole or in part, at any time, at the following redemption prices (expressed as percentages of the principal amount thereof) if redeemed during the 12-month period commencing September 15 of the years indicated below, in each case together with accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption:

| <u>Year</u> | Redemption Price |
|---------------------|------------------|
| 2016 | 104.406% |
| 2017 | 102.938% |
| 2018 | 101.469% |
| 2019 and thereafter | 100.000% |

If there is a change of control (as defined in the indenture governing the Unsecured Notes due 2021) of the Operating Partnership or DFT, we must offer to purchase the Unsecured Notes due 2021 at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest. In addition, in certain circumstances we may be required to use the net proceeds of asset sales to purchase a portion of the Unsecured Notes due 2021 at 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

The Unsecured Notes due 2021 have certain covenants limiting the ability of or prohibiting the Operating Partnership and certain of its subsidiaries from, among other things, (i) incurring secured or unsecured indebtedness, (ii) entering into sale and leaseback transactions, (iii) making certain dividend payments, distributions, purchases of DFT's common stock and investments, (iv) entering into transactions with affiliates, (v) entering into agreements limiting the ability to make certain transfers and other payments from subsidiaries, (vi) engaging in sales of assets or (vii) engaging in certain mergers, consolidations or transfers/sales of all or substantially all assets. However, DFT may pay the minimum dividend necessary to meet its REIT income distribution requirements.

The Unsecured Notes due 2021 also require the Operating Partnership and the Subsidiary Guarantors to maintain total unencumbered assets of at least 150% of their unsecured debt on a consolidated basis. The Unsecured Notes due 2021 also have customary events of default, including, but not limited to, nonpayment, breach of covenants, and payment or acceleration defaults in certain other indebtedness of ours or certain of our subsidiaries. Upon an event of default, the holders of the Unsecured Notes due 2021 or the trustee may declare the Unsecured Notes due 2021 due and immediately payable. We were in compliance with all covenants under the Unsecured Notes due 2021 as of September 30, 2016.

Unsecured Notes due 2023

On June 9, 2015, the Operating Partnership completed the sale of \$250 million of 5.625% senior unsecured notes due 2023, which we refer to as the Unsecured Notes due 2023. The Unsecured Notes due 2023 were issued at 99.205% of par and

mature on June 15, 2023. We will pay interest on the Unsecured Notes due 2023 semi-annually, in arrears, on June 15th and December 15th of each year.

The Unsecured Notes due 2023 are unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a senior unsecured basis by DFT and the same Subsidiary Guaranters as those that guarantee the Unsecured Notes due 2021.

The ranking of the Unsecured Notes due 2023 and the guarantees of these notes are the same as the ranking of the Unsecured Notes due 2021 and the guarantee of those notes.

At any time prior to June 15, 2018, the Operating Partnership may redeem the Unsecured Notes due 2023, in whole or in part, at a price equal to the sum of (i) 100% of the principal amount of the Unsecured Notes due 2023 to be redeemed, plus (ii) a make-whole premium and accrued and unpaid interest. The Unsecured Notes due 2023 may be redeemed at the Operating Partnership's option, in whole or in part, at any time, on and after June 15, 2018 at the following redemption prices (expressed as percentages of the principal amount thereof) if redeemed during the 12-month period commencing June 15 of the years indicated below, in each case together with accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption:

| <u>Year</u> | Redemption Price |
|---------------------|------------------|
| 2018 | 104.219% |
| 2019 | 102.813% |
| 2020 | 101.406% |
| 2021 and thereafter | 100.000% |

If there is a change of control (as defined in the indenture governing the Unsecured Notes due 2023) of the Operating Partnership or DFT, we must offer to purchase the Unsecured Notes due 2023 at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest. In addition, in certain circumstances we may be required to use the net proceeds of asset sales to purchase a portion of the Unsecured Notes due 2023 at 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

The Unsecured Notes due 2023 have certain covenants limiting or prohibiting the ability of the Operating Partnership and certain of its subsidiaries from, among other things, (i) incurring secured or unsecured indebtedness, (ii) entering into sale and leaseback transactions, (iii) making certain dividend payments, distributions, purchases of DFT's common stock and investments, (iv) entering into transactions with affiliates, (v) entering into agreements limiting the ability to make certain transfers and other payments from subsidiaries, (vi) engaging in sales of assets or (vii) engaging in certain mergers, consolidations or transfers/sales of all or substantially all assets. However, DFT may pay the minimum dividend necessary to meet its REIT income distribution requirements.

The Unsecured Notes due 2023 also require the Operating Partnership and the Subsidiary Guarantors to maintain total unencumbered assets of at least 150% of their unsecured debt on a consolidated basis. The Unsecured Notes due 2023 also have customary events of default, including, but not limited to, nonpayment, breach of covenants, and payment or acceleration defaults in certain other indebtedness of ours or certain of our subsidiaries. Upon an event of default, the holders of the Unsecured Notes due 2023 or the trustee may declare the Unsecured Notes due 2023 due and immediately payable. We were in compliance with all covenants under the Unsecured Notes due 2023 as of September 30, 2016.

A summary of our debt maturity schedule as of September 30, 2016 is as follows:

Debt Maturity as of September 30, 2016 (\$ in thousands)

| Year | Fixe | d Rate (1) | Floa | ating Rate (1) | | Total (1) | % of Total | Rates |
|-------|------|-------------|------|----------------|-----|-----------------|------------|------------|
| 2016 | \$ | | \$ | 1,250 (| (4) | \$ 1,250 | 0.1% | 2.1% |
| 2017 | | _ | | 8,750 (| (4) | 8,750 | 0.7% | 2.1% |
| 2018 | | _ | | 102,500 (| (4) | 102,500 | 8.5% | 2.1% |
| 2019 | | _ | | _ | | _ | % | % |
| 2020 | | | | _ | | _ | % | <u> </u> % |
| 2021 | | 600,000 (2) | | _ | | 600,000 | 49.5% | 5.9% |
| 2022 | | _ | | 250,000 (| (5) | 250,000 | 20.6% | 2.0% |
| 2023 | | 250,000 (3) | | _ | | 250,000 | 20.6% | 5.6% |
| Total | \$ | 850,000 | \$ | 362,500 | | \$ 1,212,500 | 100.0% | 4.7% |

- (1) Principal amounts exclude deferred financing costs.
- (2) The 5.875% Unsecured Notes due 2021 mature on September 15, 2021.
- (3) The 5.625% Unsecured Notes due 2023 mature on June 15, 2023. Principal amount excludes original issue discount of \$1.7 million as of September 30, 2016.
- (4) The ACC3 Term Loan matures on March 27, 2018 with no extension option. Quarterly principal payments of \$1.25 million began on April 1, 2016, increase to \$2.5 million on April 1, 2017 and continue through maturity.
- (5) The Unsecured Term Loan matures on January 21, 2022 with no extension option.

5. Commitments and Contingencies

We are involved from time to time in various legal proceedings, lawsuits, examinations by various tax authorities and claims that have arisen in the ordinary course of business. We believe that the resolution of such matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Contracts related to the development of ACC7 Phases III-IV, CH2 Phases III-IV, ACC9 Phases I-II, SC1 Phase III and CH3 Phase I data centers were in place as of September 30, 2016. These contracts are cost-plus in nature whereby the contract sum is the aggregate of the contractor's cost to perform the work and to purchase the equipment plus a contractor fee. Control estimates, which are adjusted from time to time to reflect any contract changes, are estimates of the total contract cost at completion. As of September 30, 2016, the control estimates were as follows for our projects under development:

- ACC7 Phase III: \$62.6 million, of which \$62.6 million has been incurred, and there are currently no additional commitments under this contract.
- ACC7 Phase IV: \$33.3 million, of which \$30.3 million has been incurred, and an additional \$1.6 million has been committed under this contract.
- CH2 Phase III: \$54.2 million, of which \$54.0 million has been incurred, and an additional \$0.1 million has been committed under this contract.
- CH2 Phase IV: \$4.7 million, of which \$4.0 million has been incurred, and an additional \$0.4 million has been committed under this contract.
- ACC9 Phase I: \$168.4 million, of which \$46.9 million has been incurred, and an additional \$51.0 million has been committed under this contract.
- ACC9 Phase II: \$63.9 million, of which none has been incurred, and there are currently no additional commitments under this contract.
- SC1 Phase III: \$149.0 million, of which \$55.1 million has been incurred, and an additional \$26.7 million has been committed under this contract.
- CH3 Phase I: \$1.7 million, of which \$0.6 million has been incurred, and an additional \$0.9 million has been committed under this contract.

In July 2016, we entered into an agreement to acquire a 20.6 acre parcel of land in the Greater Toronto Area of Ontario, Canada for a purchase price of \$12.9 million CAD (approximately \$10.0 million USD).

Concurrent with DFT's October 2007 initial public offering, we entered into tax protection agreements with some of the contributors of the initial properties including our Chairman of the Board. Pursuant to the terms of these agreements, if we dispose of any interest in the initial contributed properties that generates more than a certain allowable amount of built-in gain for the contributors, as a group, in any single year through 2017, we will indemnify the contributors for a portion of the tax liabilities incurred with respect to the amount of built-in gain and tax liabilities incurred as a result of the reimbursement payment. The amount of initial built-in gain that can be recognized as of January 1, 2016 without triggering the tax protection provisions is approximately 90% of the initial built in gain of \$667 million (unaudited), or \$600 million (unaudited). This percentage increases each year by 10%, accumulating to 100% in 2017. As of September 30, 2016, none of the tax protection provisions have been triggered and no liability has been recorded on our consolidated balance sheets. If, as of January 1, 2016, the tax protection provisions were triggered, we would not be liable for protection on the taxes related to the built-in gain. Additionally, pursuant to the terms of these agreements, we must provide an opportunity for certain of the contributors of the initial properties to guarantee a secured loan and, if we fail to do so, we could be liable for protection on the taxes related to approximately \$97 million (unaudited) of remaining minimum liability. The amount of our liability for protection on taxes could be based on the highest federal, state and local capital gains tax rates of the applicable contributor. Any sale by the Company that requires payments to any of DFT's executive officers or directors pursuant to these agreements requires the approval of at least 75% of the disinterested members of DFT's Board of Directors.

6. Redeemable noncontrolling interests - operating partnership / Redeemable partnership units

Redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership, as presented in DFT's accompanying consolidated balance sheets, represent the OP units held by individuals and entities other than DFT. These interests are also presented in the Operating Partnership's consolidated balance sheets, referred to as "redeemable partnership units." Accordingly, the following discussion related to redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership of DFT refers equally to redeemable partnership units of the Operating Partnership.

The redemption value of redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 was \$569.7 million and \$479.2 million, respectively, based on the closing share price of DFT's common stock of \$41.25 and \$31.79, respectively, on those dates.

Holders of OP units are entitled to receive distributions in a per unit amount equal to the per share dividends made with respect to each share of DFT's common stock, if and when DFT's Board of Directors declares such a dividend. Holders of OP units have the right to tender their units for redemption, in an amount equal to the fair market value of DFT's common stock. DFT may elect to redeem tendered OP units for cash or for shares of DFT's common stock. During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, OP unitholders redeemed a total of 1,263,565 OP units in exchange for an equal number of shares of common stock. See Note 2.

7. Preferred Stock

Series A Preferred Stock

In October 2010, DFT issued 7,400,000 shares of 7.875% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock, or Series A Preferred Stock, for \$185.0 million in an underwritten public offering. The liquidation preference on the Series A Preferred Stock is \$25 per share and dividends are scheduled quarterly. For each share of Series A Preferred Stock issued by DFT, the Operating Partnership issued a preferred unit equivalent to DFT with the same terms.

In 2016, DFT declared and paid a cash dividend on its Series A Preferred Stock, of which the OP paid an equivalent distribution on its preferred units of:

• \$0.4921875 per share payable to stockholders of record as of April 1, 2016. This dividend was paid on April 15, 2016.

On May 27, 2016, DFT redeemed 3,400,000 shares of its Series A Preferred Stock at a redemption price of \$25 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends through the date of redemption. On June 9, 2016, DFT redeemed the remaining 4,000,000 shares of its Series A Preferred Stock at a redemption price of \$25 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends through the date of redemption. Accordingly, during the nine months ended September 30, 2016, DFT wrote-off the original issuance costs related to the Series A preferred shares totaling \$6.4 million.

Series B Preferred Stock

In March 2011 and January 2012, DFT issued an aggregate of 6,650,000 shares of 7.625% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock, or Series B Preferred Stock, for \$166.3 million in underwritten public offerings. The liquidation preference on the Series B Preferred Stock is \$25 per share and dividends are scheduled quarterly. For each share of Series B Preferred Stock issued by DFT, the Operating Partnership issued a preferred unit equivalent to DFT with the same terms.

In 2016, DFT declared and paid the following cash dividends on its Series B Preferred Stock, of which the OP paid an equivalent distribution on its preferred units:

- \$0.4765625 per share payable to stockholders of record as of April 1, 2016. This dividend was paid on April 15, 2016.
- \$0.4765625 per share payable to stockholders of record as of July 1, 2016. This dividend was paid on July 15, 2016.

On June 9, 2016, DFT redeemed 2,650,000 shares of its Series B Preferred Stock at a redemption price of \$25 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends through the date of redemption. On July 15, 2016, DFT redeemed the remaining 4,000,000 shares of its Series B Preferred Stock at a redemption price of \$25 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends through the date of redemption. Accordingly, during the nine months ended September 30, 2016, DFT wrote-off the original issuance costs related to the Series B preferred shares totaling \$6.1 million.

Series C Preferred Stock

In May 2016, DFT issued 8,050,000 shares of 6.625% Series C Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock, or Series C Preferred Stock, for \$201.3 million in an underwritten public offering that resulted in proceeds to the Company, net of underwriting discounts, commissions and other offering costs of \$194.3 million. The liquidation preference on the Series C

Preferred Stock is \$25 per share and dividends are scheduled quarterly. For each share of Series C Preferred Stock issued by DFT, the Operating Partnership issued a preferred unit equivalent to DFT with the same terms.

In 2016, DFT declared the following cash dividends on its Series C Preferred Stock, of which the OP will pay or has paid an equivalent distribution on its preferred units:

- \$0.4094618 per share payable to stockholders of record as of August 1, 2016. This dividend was paid on August 15, 2016.
- \$0.4140625 per share payable to stockholders of record as of November 1, 2016. This dividend is scheduled to be paid on November 15, 2016.

Except in instances relating to preservation of our qualification as a REIT or in connection with our special optional redemption right discussed below, our Series C Preferred Stock is not redeemable prior to May 15, 2021. On and after May 15, 2021, we may, at our option, redeem our Series C Preferred Stock, in whole, at any time, or in part, from time to time, for cash at a redemption price of \$25 per share, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends (whether or not declared) to, but not including, the date of redemption.

Upon the occurrence of a change of control, we have a special optional redemption right that enables us to redeem the Series C Preferred Stock within 120 days after the first date on which a change of control has occurred resulting in neither DFT nor the surviving entity having a class of common shares listed on the NYSE, NYSE MKT, or NASDAQ. For this special redemption right, the redemption price is \$25 per share in cash, plus accrued and unpaid dividends (whether or not declared) to, but not including, the redemption date.

Upon the occurrence of a change of control that results in neither DFT nor the surviving entity having a class of common shares listed on the NYSE, NYSE MKT, or NASDAQ, the holder will have the right (subject to our special optional redemption right to redeem the Series C Preferred Stock) to convert some or all of the Series C Preferred Stock into a number of shares of DFT's common stock equal to the lesser of (A) the quotient obtained by dividing (i) the sum of (x) \$25, plus (y) an amount equal to any accrued and unpaid dividends, whether or not declared to, but not including, the date of conversion (unless the date of conversion is after a record date for a Series C Preferred Stock dividend payment and prior to the corresponding Series C Preferred Stock dividend payment date, in which case no additional amount for such accrued and unpaid dividend will be included in this quotient), by (ii) the price of DFT's common stock, and (B) 1.1723 (the Share Cap), subject to certain adjustments and provisions for the receipt of alternative consideration of equivalent value.

8. Stockholders' Equity of DFT and Partners' Capital of the OP

In March 2016, DFT completed a secondary underwritten public offering of 7,613,000 shares of common stock, at a public offering price of \$37.75 per share. The total shares sold included 993,000 shares of common stock pursuant to the full exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares of common stock. Net proceeds from the offering were approximately \$275.5 million, after deducting the underwriting discount and other offering expenses, which DFT contributed to the Operating Partnership in exchange for OP units.

In 2016, DFT declared and paid the following cash dividends per share on its common stock, of which the OP paid equivalent distributions on OP units:

- \$0.47 per share payable to stockholders of record as of April 1, 2016. This dividend was paid on April 15, 2016.
- \$0.47 per share payable to stockholders of record as of July 1, 2016. This dividend was paid on July 15, 2016.
- \$0.47 per share payable to stockholders of record as of October 7, 2016. This dividend was paid on October 17, 2016.

9. Equity Compensation Plan

In May 2011, our Board of Directors adopted the 2011 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2011 Plan") following approval from our stockholders. The 2011 Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors. The 2011 Plan allows us to provide equity-based compensation to our personnel and directors in the form of stock options, stock appreciation rights, dividend equivalent rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance-based awards, unrestricted stock, long term incentive units, or LTIP units, and other awards.

The 2011 Plan authorizes a maximum aggregate of 6,300,000 share equivalents be reserved for future issuances. In addition, under the 2011 Plan, shares of common stock that are subject to awards of options or stock appreciation rights will be counted against the 2011 Plan share limit as one share for every one share subject to the award. Any shares of stock that are subject to awards other than options or stock appreciation rights shall be counted against the 2011 Plan share limit as 2.36 shares for every one share subject to the award.

As of September 30, 2016, 3,908,491 share equivalents were issued under the 2011 Plan, and the maximum aggregate amount of share equivalents remaining available for future issuance was 2,391,509.

Restricted Stock

Restricted stock awards vest over specified periods of time as long as the employee remains employed with the Company. The following table sets forth the number of unvested shares of restricted stock and the weighted average fair value of these shares at the date of grant:

| | Shares of Restricted Stock | Fair | ed Average Value at of Grant |
|--|-------------------------------|------|------------------------------------|
| Unvested balance at December 31, 2015 | 349,142 | \$ | 28.02 |
| Granted | 175,410 | | 33.96 |
| Vested | (133,113) | | 26.02 |
| Forfeited | (47,958) | | 30.41 |
| Unvested balance at September 30, 2016 | 343,481 | \$ | 31.50 |

During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, we issued 175,410 shares of restricted stock, which had an aggregate value of \$6.0 million on the grant date. This amount will be amortized to expense over the respective vesting periods, which are typically three years. Also during the nine months ended September 30, 2016, 133,113 shares of restricted stock vested at a value of \$4.8 million on the respective vesting dates.

As of September 30, 2016, total unearned compensation on restricted stock was \$7.5 million, and the weighted average vesting period was 1.2 years.

Stock Options

Stock option awards are granted with an exercise price equal to the closing market price of DFT's common stock at the date of grant and vest over specified periods of time as long as the employee remains employed with the Company. All shares to be issued upon option exercises will be newly issued shares and the options have 10-year contractual terms. During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, no options were granted to employees. The last grant of stock options occurred in 2013, and all stock option grants have fully vested.

A summary of our stock option activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 is presented in the tables below.

| | Number of Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| Under option, December 31, 2015 | 1,230,212 | \$ 18.28 |
| Granted | <u> </u> | _ |
| Exercised | (478,733) | 22.12 |
| Forfeited | _ | _ |
| Under option, September 30, 2016 | 751,479 | \$ 15.83 |

| | Shares Subject to Option | Total Unearned Compensation | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| As of September 30, 2016 | 751,479 | \$ | 3.9 years |

The following table sets forth the number of unvested options as of September 30, 2016 and the weighted average fair value of these options at the grant date.

| | Number of Options | Weighted Average Fair Value at Date of Grant |
|--|-------------------|--|
| Unvested balance at December 31, 2015 | 38,771 | \$ 4.75 |
| Granted | | <u> </u> |
| Vested | (38,771) | 4.75 |
| Forfeited | _ | _ |
| Unvested balance at September 30, 2016 | | \$ |

The following tables sets forth the number of exercisable options as of September 30, 2016 and the weighted average fair value and exercise price of these options at the grant date.

| | Number of Options | Fair | d Average Value of Grant |
|---|-------------------|------|--------------------------------|
| Options Exercisable at December 31, 2015 | 1,191,441 | \$ | 5.41 |
| Vested | 38,771 | | 4.75 |
| Exercised | (478,733) | | 6.46 |
| Options Exercisable at September 30, 2016 | 751,479 | \$ | 4.71 |

| | Exercisable Options |] | Intrinsic Value | V | Veighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term |
|--------------------------|------------------------|----|-----------------|----|------------------------------------|---|
| As of September 30, 2016 | 751,479 | \$ | 19.1 million | \$ | 15.83 | 3.9 years |

Performance Units

Performance unit awards are awarded to certain executive employees and have a three calendar-year performance period with no dividend rights. Performance units will be settled in common shares following the performance period as long as the employee remains employed with us on the vesting date, which is the March 1st date following the last day of the applicable performance period. Performance units are valued using a Monte Carlo simulation and are amortized over the approximately three year vesting period from the grant date to the vesting date. The number of common shares settled could range from 0% to 300%. For performance unit award grants prior to 2014, the vesting amount is dependent on DFT's total stockholder return compared to the MSCI US REIT index over the three calendar-year performance period.

For performance unit grants awarded in 2014, 2015 and 2016, one-half of the recipient's performance unit award is dependent on DFT's total stockholder return compared to the MSCI US REIT index over the three calendar-year performance period. The other half of the performance unit award is dependent on DFT's total stockholder return compared to an index of five comparable publicly traded data center companies over the three calendar-year performance period. The following table summarizes the assumptions used to value, and the resulting fair and maximum values of, the performance units granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2016.

| | As | sumption |
|---|------|------------|
| Number of performance units granted | | 112,951 |
| Expected volatility | | 24% |
| Expected annual dividend | | 5.98% |
| Risk-free rate | | 1.32% |
| Performance unit fair value at date of grant | \$ | 38.08 |
| Total grant fair value at date of grant | \$4. | 3 million |
| Maximum value of grant on vesting date based on closing price of DFT's stock at the date of grant | \$10 | .7 million |

During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, no performance units were forfeited. As of September 30, 2016, total unearned compensation on 196,652 outstanding performance units was \$4.3 million.

For the performance units granted in 2013, based on DFT's total stockholder return compared to the MSCI US REIT index return for the period from January 1, 2013 to January 1, 2016, 32,985 common shares were issued upon the vesting of these performance units on March 1, 2016, which equated to a 300% payout. For the performance units granted in 2012, based on DFT's total stockholder return compared to the MSCI US REIT index return for the period from January 1, 2012 to January 1, 2015, no common shares were issued upon their vesting on March 1, 2015.

In connection with the departure of our former CEO in February 2015, 320,676 common shares were issued pursuant to the accelerated vesting of certain of his unvested performance units. \$1.9 million was expensed during the first quarter of 2015 related to the accelerated vesting of these performance units.

10. Earnings Per Share of DFT

The following table sets forth the reconciliation of basic and diluted average shares outstanding and net income attributable to common shares used in the computation of earnings per share of common stock (in thousands except for share and per share amounts):

| | Three month | s ended September 30, | Nine months ended September 30 | | | |
|--|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|------------|--|--|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | | |
| Basic and Diluted Shares Outstanding | | | | | | |
| Weighted average common shares – basic | 75,232,4 | 13 65,041,159 | 72,212,874 | 65,190,737 | | |
| Effect of dilutive securities | 863,5 | 520,732 | 859,253 | 728,239 | | |
| Weighted average common shares - diluted | 76,095,9 | 94 65,561,891 | 73,072,127 | 65,918,976 | | |
| <u>Calculation of Earnings per Share – Basic</u> | | | | | | |
| Net income attributable to common shares | \$ 28,5 | 24 \$ 19,062 | \$ 90,231 | \$ 54,533 | | |
| Net income allocated to unvested restricted shares | (1 | 61) (142) | (473) | (435) | | |
| Net income attributable to common shares, adjusted | 28,3 | 63 18,920 | 89,758 | 54,098 | | |
| Weighted average common shares – basic | 75,232,4 | 13 65,041,159 | 72,212,874 | 65,190,737 | | |
| Earnings per common share – basic | \$ 0. | \$ 0.29 | \$ 1.24 | \$ 0.83 | | |
| Calculation of Earnings per Share – Diluted | | | | | | |
| Net income attributable to common shares, adjusted | \$ 28,3 | 63 \$ 18,920 | \$ 89,758 | \$ 54,098 | | |
| Weighted average common shares - diluted | 76,095,9 | 94 65,561,891 | 73,072,127 | 65,918,976 | | |
| Earnings per common share – diluted | \$ 0. | \$ 0.29 | \$ 1.23 | \$ 0.82 | | |

The following table sets forth the number of performance units that have been excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share as their effect would have been antidilutive (in millions):

| | Three months ended | l September 30, | Nine months ended September 30, | | | |
|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|------|--|--|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | | |
| Performance Units | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | | |

11. Earnings Per Unit of the Operating Partnership

The following table sets forth the reconciliation of basic and diluted average units outstanding and net income attributable to common units used in the computation of earnings per unit (in thousands except for unit and per unit amounts):

| | Three months ended September 30, | | | Nine months ended September 30, | | | eptember 30, | |
|---|----------------------------------|------------|----|---------------------------------|----|------------|--------------|------------|
| | | 2016 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2015 |
| Basic and Diluted Units Outstanding | | | | | | | | |
| Weighted average common units – basic (includes redeemable partnership units and units of general and limited partners) | | 89,042,610 | | 80,460,396 | | 86,691,833 | | 80,610,303 |
| Effect of dilutive securities | | 863,581 | | 520,732 | | 859,253 | | 728,239 |
| Weighted average common units - diluted | | 89,906,191 | | 80,981,128 | | 87,551,086 | | 81,338,542 |
| Calculation of Earnings per Unit – Basic | | | | | | | | |
| Net income attributable to common units | \$ | 33,668 | \$ | 23,582 | \$ | 108,320 | \$ | 67,434 |
| Net income allocated to unvested restricted units | | (161) | | (142) | | (473) | | (435) |
| Net income attributable to common units, adjusted | | 33,507 | | 23,440 | | 107,847 | | 66,999 |
| Weighted average common units – basic | | 89,042,610 | | 80,460,396 | | 86,691,833 | | 80,610,303 |
| Earnings per common unit – basic | \$ | 0.38 | \$ | 0.29 | \$ | 1.24 | \$ | 0.83 |
| <u>Calculation of Earnings per Unit – Diluted</u> | | | | | | | | |
| Net income attributable to common units, adjusted | \$ | 33,507 | \$ | 23,440 | \$ | 107,847 | \$ | 66,999 |
| Weighted average common units - diluted | | 89,906,191 | | 80,981,128 | | 87,551,086 | | 81,338,542 |
| Earnings per common unit – diluted | \$ | 0.37 | \$ | 0.29 | \$ | 1.23 | \$ | 0.82 |

The following table sets forth the amount of performance units that have been excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per unit as their effect would have been antidilutive (in millions):

| | Three months ended | September 30, | Nine months ended September 30, | | | |
|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|------|--|--|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | | |
| Performance Units | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | | |

12. Fair Value

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value

The authoritative guidance issued by the FASB requires disclosure of the fair value of financial instruments. Fair value estimates are subjective in nature and are dependent on a number of important assumptions, including estimates of future cash flows, risks, discount rates, and relevant comparable market information associated with each financial instrument. The use of different market assumptions and estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the reported estimated fair value amounts. Accordingly, the amounts are not necessarily indicative of the amounts we would realize in a current market exchange.

The following methods and assumptions were used in estimating the fair value amounts and disclosures for financial instruments as of September 30, 2016:

- Cash and cash equivalents: The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents reported in the accompanying
 consolidated balance sheets approximates fair value because of the short maturity of these instruments (i.e., less than
 90 days).
- Rents and other receivables, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, and prepaid rents: The carrying amount of these
 assets and liabilities reported in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets approximates fair value because of the
 short-term nature of these amounts.
- Debt: The combined balance of our Unsecured Notes due 2021, Unsecured Notes due 2023, Unsecured Term Loan, Unsecured Credit Facility and ACC3 Term Loan was \$1,210.8 million with a fair value of \$1,252.5 million based on Level 2 and Level 3 data. The Unsecured Notes due 2021 and Unsecured Notes due 2023 were valued based on Level 2 data which consisted of a quoted price from Bloomberg. The ACC3 Loan and the Unsecured Term Loan were valued based on Level 3 data which consisted of a one-month LIBOR swap rate coterminous with the maturity of each loan plus a spread consistent with current market conditions.

13. Supplemental Consolidating Financial Data for Subsidiary Guarantors of the Unsecured Notes

Our Unsecured Notes due 2021 and Unsecured Notes due 2023 are unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a senior unsecured basis by DFT and certain of the Operating Partnership's subsidiaries, including the subsidiaries that own the ACC2, ACC4, ACC5, ACC6, VA3, VA4, CH1 and SC1 data centers (collectively, the "Subsidiary Guarantors"), but excluding the subsidiaries that own the ACC3, ACC7, ACC9 and CH2 data centers, the ACC8, ACC10, ACC11, CH3, OR1 and OR2 parcels of land, the TOR1 shell building and land, our taxable REIT subsidiary, DF Technical Services LLC and our property management subsidiary, DF Property Management LLC. The following consolidating financial information sets forth the financial position as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 and the results of operations and cash flows for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 of the Operating Partnership, Subsidiary Guarantors and the Subsidiary Non-Guarantors.

SUPPLEMENTAL CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands except share data)

| | September 30, 2016 | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Operating | Subsidiary | Subsidiary Non- | | Consolidated | | | | | |
| | Partnership | Guarantors | Guarantors | Eliminations | Total | | | | | |
| ASSETS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Income producing property: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Land | \$ — | \$ 80,673 | \$ 22,510 | \$ — | \$ 103,183 | | | | | |
| Buildings and improvements | | 2,332,115 | 610,295 | | 2,942,410 | | | | | |
| | _ | 2,412,788 | 632,805 | _ | 3,045,593 | | | | | |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | | (584,601) | (50,723) | | (635,324) | | | | | |
| Net income producing property | _ | 1,828,187 | 582,082 | _ | 2,410,269 | | | | | |
| Construction in progress and land held for development | | 63,945 | 218,239 | | 282,184 | | | | | |
| Net real estate | _ | 1,892,132 | 800,321 | _ | 2,692,453 | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 53,150 | _ | 4,456 | _ | 57,606 | | | | | |
| Rents and other receivables | 1,764 | 5,033 | 6,055 | _ | 12,852 | | | | | |
| Deferred rent | | 112,637 | 11,502 | _ | 124,139 | | | | | |
| Lease contracts above market value, net | _ | 5,361 | _ | _ | 5,361 | | | | | |
| Deferred costs, net | 6,132 | 12,424 | 8,196 | _ | 26,752 | | | | | |
| Investment in affiliates | 2,633,798 | _ | _ | (2,633,798) | _ | | | | | |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 3,907 | 29,659 | 7,856 | | 41,422 | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$2,698,751 | \$2,057,246 | \$ 838,386 | \$(2,633,798) | \$2,960,585 | | | | | |
| LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL | | | | | | | | | | |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Line of credit | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | | | | | |
| Mortgage notes payable | Ψ — | <u> </u> | 111,875 | Ψ — | 111,875 | | | | | |
| Unsecured term loan | 248,983 | _ | - | | 248,983 | | | | | |
| Unsecured notes payable | 836,732 | | | _ | 836,732 | | | | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 4,335 | 19,844 | 7,492 | | 31,671 | | | | | |
| Construction costs payable | 57 | 21,906 | 24,586 | _ | 46,549 | | | | | |
| Accrued interest payable | 6,193 | 21,700 | 24,300 | | 6,199 | | | | | |
| Distribution payable | 43,678 | | _ | <u> </u> | 43,678 | | | | | |
| Lease contracts below market value, net | 43,076 | 3,144 | _ | | 3,144 | | | | | |
| Prepaid rents and other liabilities | 197 | 57,517 | 15,464 | | 73,178 | | | | | |
| Total liabilities | 1,140,175 | 102,411 | 159,423 | | 1,402,009 | | | | | |
| Redeemable partnership units | 569,662 | 102,411 | 139,423 | | 569,662 | | | | | |
| Commitments and contingencies | 309,002 | | | <u> </u> | 309,002 | | | | | |
| Limited Partners' Capital: | _ | _ | _ | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| Series A cumulative redeemable perpetual preferred | | | | | | | | | | |
| units, none issued and outstanding at September 30, | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2016 | _ | _ | _ | <u> </u> | _ | | | | | |
| Series B cumulative redeemable perpetual preferred units, none issued and outstanding at September 30, | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2016 | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| Series C cumulative redeemable perpetual preferred stock, 8,050,000 issued and outstanding at September 30, 2016 | 201,250 | _ | _ | _ | 201,250 | | | | | |
| Common units, 74,914,108 issued and outstanding at September 30, 2016 | 780,761 | 1,954,835 | 678,963 | (2,633,798) | 780,761 | | | | | |
| General partner's capital, 662,373 common units issued and outstanding at September 30, 2016 | 6,903 | | | | 6,903 | | | | | |
| Total partners' capital | 988,914 | 1,954,835 | 678,963 | (2,633,798) | 988,914 | | | | | |
| Total liabilities & partners' capital | \$2,698,751 | \$2,057,246 | \$ 838,386 | \$(2,633,798) | \$2,960,585 | | | | | |

SUPPLEMENTAL CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS (in thousands except share data)

| | December 31, 2015 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 0 " | 6.1.11 | Subsidiary | | C P141 | | | | | |
| | Operating Partnership | Subsidiary Guarantors | Non- Guarantors | Eliminations | Consolidated Total | | | | | |
| ASSETS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Income producing property: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Land | \$ — | \$ 84,258 | \$ 9,945 | \$ — | \$ 94,203 | | | | | |
| Buildings and improvements | _ | 2,399,016 | 337,920 | _ | 2,736,936 | | | | | |
| | _ | 2,483,274 | 347,865 | | 2,831,139 | | | | | |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | | (522,096) | (38,741) | | (560,837) | | | | | |
| Net income producing property | | 1,961,178 | 309,124 | | 2,270,302 | | | | | |
| Construction in progress and land held for | | 25 545 | 275 204 | | 200.020 | | | | | |
| development | | 25,545 | 275,394 | | 300,939 | | | | | |
| Net real estate | | 1,986,723 | 584,518 | <u> </u> | 2,571,241 | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 21,697 | | 5,318 | <u> </u> | 27,015 | | | | | |
| Rents and other receivables | 1,391 | 7,563 | 634 | _ | 9,588 | | | | | |
| Deferred rent | _ | 122,830 | 6,111 | | 128,941 | | | | | |
| Lease contracts above market value, net | <u> </u> | 6,029 | - | _ | 6,029 | | | | | |
| Deferred costs, net | 3,236 | 14,250 | 6,288 | _ | 23,774 | | | | | |
| Investment in affiliates | 2,546,465 | . | - | (2,546,465) | - | | | | | |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 3,025 | 39,642 | 2,022 | | 44,689 | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$ 2,575,814 | \$ 2,177,037 | \$ 604,891 | \$ (2,546,465) | \$ 2,811,277 | | | | | |
| LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL | | | | | | | | | | |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Line of credit | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | | | | | |
| Mortgage notes payable | _ | _ | 114,075 | _ | 114,075 | | | | | |
| Unsecured term loan | 249,172 | _ | _ | _ | 249,172 | | | | | |
| Unsecured notes payable | 834,963 | _ | _ | _ | 834,963 | | | | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 4,516 | 23,615 | 4,170 | _ | 32,301 | | | | | |
| Construction costs payable | 43 | 293 | 21,707 | _ | 22,043 | | | | | |
| Accrued interest payable | 11,815 | _ | 6 | _ | 11,821 | | | | | |
| Distribution payable | 43,906 | _ | | _ | 43,906 | | | | | |
| Lease contracts below market value, net | _ | 4,132 | _ | _ | 4,132 | | | | | |
| Prepaid rents and other liabilities | 12 | 62,630 | 4,835 | | 67,477 | | | | | |
| Total liabilities | 1,144,427 | 90,670 | 144,793 | | 1,379,890 | | | | | |
| Redeemable partnership units | 479,189 | _ | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | 479,189 | | | | | |
| Commitments and contingencies | | _ | _ | _ | _ | | | | | |
| Limited Partners' Capital: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Series A cumulative redeemable perpetual | | | | | | | | | | |
| preferred units, 7,400,000 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2015 | 185,000 | _ | _ | _ | 185,000 | | | | | |
| Series B cumulative redeemable perpetual preferred units, 6,650,000 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2015 | 166,250 | _ | _ | _ | 166,250 | | | | | |
| Common units, 65,443,277 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2015 | 594,927 | 2,086,367 | 460,098 | (2,546,465) | 594,927 | | | | | |
| General partner's capital, 662,373 common units issued and outstanding at December 31, 2015 | 6,021 | _ | _ | _ | 6,021 | | | | | |
| Total partners' capital | 952,198 | 2,086,367 | 460,098 | (2,546,465) | 952,198 | | | | | |
| Total liabilities & partners' capital | \$ 2,575,814 | \$ 2,177,037 | \$ 604,891 | \$ (2,546,465) | \$ 2,811,277 | | | | | |

| | Three months ended September 30, 2016 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----|------------------------|----|------------------------------|--------------|----------|----|---------------------|
| | | erating tnership | | ubsidiary uarantors | | bsidiary Non- arantors | Eliminations | | Co | nsolidated Total |
| Revenues: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Base rent | \$ | 4,534 | \$ | 66,634 | \$ | 21,980 | \$ | (4,534) | \$ | 88,614 |
| Recoveries from tenants | | _ | | 36,223 | | 8,152 | | _ | | 44,375 |
| Other revenues | | | | 377 | | 960 | | | | 1,337 |
| Total revenues | | 4,534 | | 103,234 | | 31,092 | | (4,534) | | 134,326 |
| Expenses: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property operating costs | | _ | | 36,183 | | 7,565 | | (4,534) | | 39,214 |
| Real estate taxes and insurance | | _ | | 4,007 | | 948 | | _ | | 4,955 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 31 | | 21,781 | | 5,681 | | _ | | 27,493 |
| General and administrative | | 5,194 | | 8 | | 282 | | _ | | 5,484 |
| Other expenses | | 215 | | 13 | | 572 | | _ | | 800 |
| Total expenses | | 5,440 | | 61,992 | | 15,048 | | (4,534) | | 77,946 |
| Operating (loss) income | | (906) | | 41,242 | | 16,044 | | | | 56,380 |
| Interest: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Expense incurred | | (13,984) | | 340 | | 709 | | _ | | (12,935) |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | | (989) | | 17 | | (44) | | _ | | (1,016) |
| Gain on sale of real estate | | (231) | | _ | | _ | | _ | | (231) |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt | | (1,232) | | _ | | _ | | _ | | (1,232) |
| Equity in earnings | | 58,308 | | _ | | _ | | (58,308) | | _ |
| Net income (loss) | | 40,966 | | 41,599 | | 16,709 | | (58,308) | | 40,966 |
| Preferred unit distributions | | (3,630) | | _ | | _ | | _ | | (3,630) |
| Issuance costs associated with redeemed preferred units | | (3,668) | | _ | | | | _ | | (3,668) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common units | \$ | 33,668 | \$ | 41,599 | \$ | 16,709 | \$ | (58,308) | \$ | 33,668 |

| | Three months ended September 30, 2015 | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----|------------------------|----|--------------------------------|-----|-----------|----|---------------------|
| | | oerating tnership | | ubsidiary uarantors | | ıbsidiary Non- ıarantors | Eli | minations | Co | nsolidated Total |
| Revenues: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Base rent | \$ | 4,561 | \$ | 69,136 | \$ | 7,674 | \$ | (4,600) | \$ | 76,771 |
| Recoveries from tenants | | _ | | 32,160 | | 3,063 | | _ | | 35,223 |
| Other revenues | | _ | | 445 | | 2,944 | | (46) | | 3,343 |
| Total revenues | | 4,561 | | 101,741 | | 13,681 | | (4,646) | | 115,337 |
| Expenses: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property operating costs | | _ | | 33,217 | | 4,600 | | (4,608) | | 33,209 |
| Real estate taxes and insurance | | _ | | 4,983 | | 365 | | _ | | 5,348 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 10 | | 23,742 | | 2,681 | | _ | | 26,433 |
| General and administrative | | 4,230 | | 7 | | 185 | | _ | | 4,422 |
| Other expenses | | 555 | | 3 | | 2,427 | | (38) | | 2,947 |
| Total expenses | | 4,795 | | 61,952 | | 10,258 | | (4,646) | | 72,359 |
| Operating (loss) income | | (234) | | 39,789 | | 3,423 | | | | 42,978 |
| Interest: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Expense incurred | | (13,860) | | _ | | 2,179 | | _ | | (11,681) |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | | (932) | | _ | | 28 | | | | (904) |
| Equity in earnings | | 45,419 | | _ | | _ | | (45,419) | | _ |
| Net income (loss) | | 30,393 | | 39,789 | | 5,630 | | (45,419) | | 30,393 |
| Preferred unit distributions | | (6,811) | | _ | | _ | | _ | | (6,811) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common units | \$ | 23,582 | \$ | 39,789 | \$ | 5,630 | \$ | (45,419) | \$ | 23,582 |

| | Nine months ended September 30, 2016 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|----|------------------------|----|------------------------------|----|-------------|----|---------------------|
| | | Operating artnership | | ubsidiary uarantors | | bsidiary Non- arantors | E | liminations | Co | nsolidated Total |
| Revenues: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Base rent | \$ | 13,474 | \$ | 203,562 | \$ | 51,009 | \$ | (13,536) | \$ | 254,509 |
| Recoveries from tenants | | _ | | 106,407 | | 18,357 | | _ | | 124,764 |
| Other revenues | | | | 1,253 | | 6,532 | | (45) | | 7,740 |
| Total revenues | | 13,474 | | 311,222 | | 75,898 | | (13,581) | | 387,013 |
| Expenses: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property operating costs | | _ | | 107,918 | | 18,703 | | (13,519) | | 113,102 |
| Real estate taxes and insurance | | _ | | 13,608 | | 2,503 | | _ | | 16,111 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 58 | | 66,568 | | 13,033 | | _ | | 79,659 |
| General and administrative | | 15,638 | | 45 | | 650 | | _ | | 16,333 |
| Other expenses | | 1,186 | | 35 | | 5,183 | | (62) | | 6,342 |
| Total expenses | | 16,882 | | 188,174 | | 40,072 | | (13,581) | | 231,547 |
| Operating (loss) income | | (3,408) | | 123,048 | | 35,826 | | _ | | 155,466 |
| Interest: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Expense incurred | | (42,074) | | 463 | | 5,544 | | _ | | (36,067) |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | | (2,900) | | 23 | | 97 | | _ | | (2,780) |
| Gain on sale of real estate | | 21,643 | | _ | | 1,190 | | _ | | 22,833 |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt | | (1,232) | | _ | | _ | | | | (1,232) |
| Equity in earnings | | 166,191 | | _ | | _ | | (166,191) | | _ |
| Net income (loss) | | 138,220 | | 123,534 | | 42,657 | | (166,191) | | 138,220 |
| Preferred unit distributions | | (17,405) | | _ | | _ | | _ | | (17,405) |
| Issuance costs associated with redeemed preferred units | | (12,495) | | _ | | _ | | _ | | (12,495) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common units | \$ | 108,320 | \$ | 123,534 | \$ | 42,657 | \$ | (166,191) | \$ | 108,320 |

| | Nine months ended September 30, 2015 | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|----|------------------------|----|------------------------------|--------------|-----------|----|---------------------|
| | | Operating artnership | | ubsidiary uarantors | | bsidiary Non- arantors | Eliminations | | Co | nsolidated Total |
| Revenues: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Base rent | \$ | 13,682 | \$ | 201,797 | \$ | 19,363 | \$ | (13,796) | \$ | 221,046 |
| Recoveries from tenants | | _ | | 94,970 | | 8,040 | | _ | | 103,010 |
| Other revenues | | _ | | 1,309 | | 11,221 | | (109) | | 12,421 |
| Total revenues | | 13,682 | | 298,076 | | 38,624 | | (13,905) | | 336,477 |
| Expenses: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property operating costs | | _ | | 96,552 | | 11,602 | | (13,792) | | 94,362 |
| Real estate taxes and insurance | | _ | | 15,424 | | 963 | | _ | | 16,387 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 32 | | 70,907 | | 6,706 | | _ | | 77,645 |
| General and administrative | | 12,585 | | 51 | | 597 | | _ | | 13,233 |
| Other expenses | | 6,151 | | 3 | | 9,711 | | (113) | | 15,752 |
| Total expenses | | 18,768 | | 182,937 | | 29,579 | | (13,905) | | 217,379 |
| Operating (loss) income | | (5,086) | | 115,139 | | 9,045 | | _ | | 119,098 |
| Interest: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Expense incurred | | (36,016) | | 1,327 | | 5,698 | | _ | | (28,991) |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | | (2,497) | | 107 | | 150 | | _ | | (2,240) |
| Equity in earnings | | 131,466 | | _ | | _ | | (131,466) | | |
| Net income (loss) | | 87,867 | | 116,573 | | 14,893 | | (131,466) | | 87,867 |
| Preferred unit distributions | | (20,433) | | _ | | _ | | _ | | (20,433) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common units | \$ | 67,434 | \$ | 116,573 | \$ | 14,893 | \$ | (131,466) | \$ | 67,434 |

SUPPLEMENTAL CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (in thousands)

| | Nine months ended September 30, 2016 | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Operating Partnership | Subsidiary Guarantors | Subsidiary Non- Guarantors | Eliminations | Consolidated Total | | | | |
| Cash flow from operating activities | | | | | | | | | |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | \$ (46,175) | \$ 186,707 | \$ 57,334 | \$ — | \$ 197,866 | | | | |
| Return on investment in subsidiaries | 244,041 | | | (244,041) | | | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 197,866 | 186,707 | 57,334 | (244,041) | 197,866 | | | | |
| Cash flow from investing activities | | | | | | | | | |
| Proceeds from the sale of real estate | _ | 120,086 | 3,459 | _ | 123,545 | | | | |
| Investments in real estate – development | _ | (27,579) | (152,220) | _ | (179,799) | | | | |
| Acquisition of real estate | | | (53,105) | _ | (53,105) | | | | |
| Acquisition of real estate – related party | | _ | (20,168) | _ | (20,168) | | | | |
| Investments in subsidiaries | (266,702) | _ | <u>—</u> | 266,702 | _ | | | | |
| Return of investment in subsidiaries | 123,545 | _ | _ | (123,545) | _ | | | | |
| Interest capitalized for real estate under development | (2) | (464) | (7,299) | _ | (7,765) | | | | |
| Improvements to real estate | _ | (3,868) | (104) | _ | (3,972) | | | | |
| Additions to non real estate property | (780) | (196) | (36) | _ | (1,012) | | | | |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (143,939) | 87,979 | (229,473) | 143,157 | (142,276) | | | | |
| Cash flow from financing activities | | | | | | | | | |
| Line of credit: | | | | | | | | | |
| Proceeds | 60,000 | _ | <u> </u> | _ | 60,000 | | | | |
| Repayments | (60,000) | _ | <u> </u> | _ | (60,000) | | | | |
| Mortgage notes payable: | | | | | | | | | |
| Repayments | _ | _ | (2,500) | _ | (2,500) | | | | |
| Payments of financing costs | (5,600) | _ | (25) | _ | (5,625) | | | | |
| Issuance of common units, net of offering costs | 275,470 | _ | _ | _ | 275,470 | | | | |
| Issuance of preferred units, net of offering costs | 194,252 | _ | _ | _ | 194,252 | | | | |
| Redemption of preferred units | (351,250) | _ | _ | _ | (351,250) | | | | |
| Equity compensation proceeds | 8,269 | _ | _ | _ | 8,269 | | | | |
| Parent financing | _ | 32,107 | 234,595 | (266,702) | _ | | | | |
| Distribution to parent | _ | (306,793) | (60,793) | 367,586 | _ | | | | |
| Distributions | (143,615) | _ | _ | _ | (143,615) | | | | |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (22,474) | (274,686) | 171,277 | 100,884 | (24,999) | | | | |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 31,453 | | (862) | | 30,591 | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 21,697 | _ | 5,318 | _ | 27,015 | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents, ending of period | \$ 53,150 | <u></u> \$ — | \$ 4,456 | \$ | \$ 57,606 | | | | |

SUPPLEMENTAL CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (in thousands)

| | Nine months ended September 30, 2015 | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|----|--------------------------|----|--------------------------------|------|-----------|----|----------------------|
| | | Operating artnership | | Subsidiary Suarantors | | ubsidiary Non- uarantors | Elin | ninations | C | onsolidated Total |
| Cash flow from operating activities | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | \$ | (42,466) | \$ | 211,786 | \$ | 22,070 | \$ | | \$ | 191,390 |
| Cash flow from investing activities | | | | | | | | | | |
| Investments in real estate – development | | (333) | | (9,597) | | (144,235) | | | | (154,165) |
| Acquisition of real estate – related party | | _ | | _ | | (8,600) | | _ | | (8,600) |
| Investments in subsidiaries | | 58,681 | | (197,908) | | 139,227 | | _ | | _ |
| Interest capitalized for real estate under development | | (21) | | (1,327) | | (7,209) | | _ | | (8,557) |
| Improvements to real estate | | _ | | (2,375) | | (58) | | | | (2,433) |
| Additions to non real estate property | | (5) | | (579) | | (38) | | | | (622) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | | 58,322 | | (211,786) | | (20,913) | | | | (174,377) |
| Cash flow from financing activities | | | | | | | | | | |
| Line of credit: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Proceeds | | 120,000 | | _ | | _ | | _ | | 120,000 |
| Repayments | | (180,000) | | _ | | _ | | | | (180,000) |
| Unsecured notes payable: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Proceeds | | 248,012 | | _ | | _ | | | | 248,012 |
| Payments of financing costs | | (4,705) | | _ | | (25) | | | | (4,730) |
| Equity compensation payments | | (7,611) | | _ | | _ | | _ | | (7,611) |
| Stock repurchases | | (31,912) | | _ | | _ | | | | (31,912) |
| Distributions | | (122,534) | | _ | | _ | | | | (122,534) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | | 21,250 | | | | (25) | | | | 21,225 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | | 37,106 | _ | | | 1,132 | | | _ | 38,238 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | | 21,806 | | _ | | 3,574 | | | | 25,380 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, ending of period | \$ | 58,912 | \$ | | \$ | 4,706 | \$ | | \$ | 63,618 |

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this report. This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. We caution investors that any forward-looking statements presented in this report, or which management may make orally or in writing from time to time, are based on management's beliefs and assumptions made by, and information currently available to, management. When used, the words "anticipate," "believe," "expect," "intend," "may," "might," "plan," "estimate," "project," "should," "will," "result" and similar expressions, which do not relate solely to historical matters, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions and are not guarantees of future performance, which may be affected by known and unknown risks, trends, uncertainties and factors that are beyond our control. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those anticipated, estimated or projected. We caution you that while forward-looking statements reflect our good faith beliefs when we make them, they are not guarantees of future performance and are impacted by actual events when they occur after we make such statements. We expressly disclaim any responsibility to update forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law. Accordingly, investors should use caution in relying on past forward-looking statements, which are based on results and trends at the time they are made, to anticipate future results or trends.

For a detailed discussion of certain of the risks and uncertainties that could cause our future results to differ materially from any forward-looking statements, see Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 and Part II, Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and for the quarterly periods ended June 30, 2016 and March 31, 2016. You should also review the risks, uncertainties and other factors discussed in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in other documents that we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). The risks and uncertainties discussed in these reports are not exhaustive. We operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment and new risk factors may emerge from time to time. It is not possible for us to predict all such risk factors, nor can we assess the impact of all such risk factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Given these risks and uncertainties, investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results.

Overview

DuPont Fabros Technology, Inc., or DFT, was formed on March 2, 2007, is a real estate investment trust, or REIT, and is headquartered in Washington, D.C. DFT is a fully integrated, self-administered and self-managed company that owns, acquires, develops and operates wholesale data centers. DFT is the sole general partner of, and, as of September 30, 2016, owned 84.5% of the common economic interest in DuPont Fabros Technology, L.P. (the "Operating Partnership" or "OP"). Unless otherwise indicated or unless the context requires otherwise, all references to "we," "us," "our," "our Company" or "the Company" refer to DFT and the Operating Partnership, collectively. DFT's common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, under the symbol "DFT." DFT's Series C preferred stock also trades on the NYSE under the symbol "DFTPrC."

We design and operate innovative, multi-tenant, wholesale data centers, and create solutions with our customers that free them to focus on their core businesses. Our facilities are designed to offer highly specialized, efficient and safe computing environments in a low-cost operating model. Our customers include national and international enterprises across numerous industries, including technology, Internet, content providers, cloud providers, media, communications, healthcare and financial services. Our 11 data centers have a total of 3.3 million gross square feet and 286 megawatts of power available to our customers to operate their servers and computing equipment.

Data centers are facilities that house large numbers of computer servers and related equipment and include the infrastructure necessary to operate this equipment, including systems for power distribution, environmental control, fire suppression and security. We believe that our data centers provide sufficient power to meet the needs of the world's largest technology companies. We lease the computer room square feet, or CRSF, and the available power of our facilities to customers under long-term leases. As of October 1, 2016:

- We had 32 customers with 123 different lease expirations, with only 1.9% of these expirations occurring through the end of 2017 as measured by annualized base rent;
- The weighted average remaining term of our commenced leases was 5.6 years; and

We served five of the Fortune 25 and 20 of the Fortune 1000, which includes private or foreign enterprises of
equivalent size.

Our data centers are strategically located in three major population centers with a history of strong demand for wholesale data center space - Northern Virginia, suburban Chicago, Illinois and Santa Clara, California - each of which has significant electrical power availability and hubs of extensive fiber network connectivity. In June 2016, we completed the sale of our NJ1 data center, which was located in the metropolitan New York City area. As of September 30, 2016, we owned the following properties:

- 11 operating data centers facilities;
- · Five phases of data center facilities currently under development; and
- Six parcels of land and one shell building held for the future development of data centers.

We believe that we are well positioned to develop, lease, operate and manage our growing data center portfolio. Over the next several years, we plan to expand our data center development and operations into several new markets, which we believe will create opportunities to diversify our customer base and increase our profitability. Initially, we plan to target the two markets of Toronto, Canada and Portland, Oregon, each of which has limited wholesale data center supply and what we believe is a developing market with strong demand. In July 2016, we purchased a 46.7 acre parcel of land in the Portland market, and in September 2016, we purchased a shell building and associated land in the Toronto market. The building in Toronto is a former Toronto Star printing facility, and the shell is well-suited for development of our TOR1 data center.

The following table presents a summary of our operating properties as of October 1, 2016:

Operating Properties As of October 1, 2016

| Property | Property Location | Year Built/ Renovated | Gross Building Area (2) | Computer Room Square Feet ("CRSF") (2) | CRSF % Leased (3) | CRSF % Commenced (4) | Critical Load MW (5) | Critical Load % Leased (3) | Critical Load % Commenced (4) |
|----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Stabilized (1) | | | () | | | | | | |
| ACC2 | Ashburn, VA | 2001/2005 | 87,000 | 53,000 | 100% | 100% | 10.4 | 100% | 100% |
| ACC3 | Ashburn, VA | 2001/2006 | 147,000 | 80,000 | 100% | 100% | 13.9 | 100% | 100% |
| ACC4 | Ashburn, VA | 2007 | 347,000 | 172,000 | 100% | 100% | 36.4 | 97% | 97% |
| ACC5 | Ashburn, VA | 2009-2010 | 360,000 | 176,000 | 99% | 99% | 36.4 | 100% | 100% |
| ACC6 | Ashburn, VA | 2011-2013 | 262,000 | 130,000 | 100% | 100% | 26.0 | 100% | 100% |
| ACC7 | Ashburn, VA | 2014-2016 | 446,000 | 238,000 | 87% | 87% | 41.6 | 90% | 90% |
| CH1 | Elk Grove Village, IL | 2008-2012 | 485,000 | 231,000 | 100% | 100% | 36.4 | 100% | 100% |
| CH2 Phases I/III | Elk Grove Village, IL | 2015-2016 | 245,000 | 116,000 | 100% | 100% | 19.3 | 100% | 100% |
| SC1 Phases I-II | Santa Clara, CA | 2011-2015 | 360,000 | 173,000 | 100% | 100% | 36.6 | 100% | 100% |
| VA3 | Reston, VA | 2003 | 256,000 | 147,000 | 94% | 94% | 13.0 | 95% | 95% |
| VA4 | Bristow, VA | 2005 | 230,000 | 90,000 | 100% | 100% | 9.6 | 100% | 100% |
| Subtotal – stabilize | d | | 3,225,000 | 1,606,000 | 97% | 97% | 279.6 | 98% | 98% |
| Completed, not St | <u>abilized</u> | | | | | | | | |
| CH2 Phase II | Elk Grove Village, IL | 2016 | 74,000 | 35,000 | 76% | 76% | 6.3 | 77% | 77% |
| Subtotal – not stabi | Subtotal – not stabilized | | 74,000 | 35,000 | 76% | 76% | 6.3 | 77% | 77% |
| Total Operating Pro | operties | | 3,299,000 | 1,641,000 | 97% | 97% | 285.9 | 97% | 97% |

- Stabilized operating properties are either 85% or more leased and commenced or have been in service for 24 months or greater.
- (2) Gross building area is the entire building area, including CRSF (the portion of gross building area where our customers' computer servers are located), common areas, areas controlled by us (such as the mechanical, telecommunications and utility rooms) and, in some facilities, individual office and storage space leased on an as available basis to our customers.
- (3) Percentage leased is expressed as a percentage of CRSF or critical load, as applicable, that is subject to an executed lease. Leases executed as of October 1, 2016 represent \$367 million of base rent on a GAAP basis and \$374 million of base rent on a cash basis over the next twelve months. Both amounts include \$18 million of revenue from management fees over the next twelve months.

- (4) Percentage commenced is expressed as a percentage of CRSF or critical load, as applicable, where the lease has commenced under GAAP.
- (5) Critical load (also referred to as IT load or load used by customers' servers or related equipment) is the power available for exclusive use by customers expressed in terms of megawatt, or MW, or kilowatt, or kW (One MW is equal to 1,000 kW).

Lease Expirations As of October 1, 2016

The following table sets forth a summary schedule of lease expirations at our operating properties for each of the ten calendar years beginning with 2016. The information set forth in the table below assumes that customers exercise no renewal options and takes into account customers' early termination options in determining the life of their leases under GAAP.

| Year of Lease Expiration | Number of Leases Expiring (1) | CRSF of Expiring Commenced Leases (in thousands) (2) | % of Leased CRSF | Total kW of Expiring Commenced Leases (2) | % of Leased kW | % of Annualized Base Rent (3) |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 2016 | | | <u>_%</u> | | % | % |
| 2017 | 4 | 33 | 2.1% | 5,146 | 1.8% | 1.9% |
| 2018 | 20 | 177 | 11.1% | 33,448 | 12.0% | 12.7% |
| 2019 | 25 | 316 | 19.9% | 56,104 | 20.1% | 21.8% |
| 2020 | 15 | 182 | 11.4% | 31,754 | 11.4% | 11.6% |
| 2021 | 16 | 284 | 17.9% | 50,092 | 18.0% | 17.1% |
| 2022 | 10 | 140 | 8.8% | 24,509 | 8.8% | 8.8% |
| 2023 | 8 | 92 | 5.8% | 13,305 | 4.8% | 4.3% |
| 2024 | 8 | 112 | 7.0% | 19,279 | 6.9% | 7.3% |
| 2025 | 4 | 47 | 3.0% | 7,750 | 2.8% | 3.5% |
| After 2025 | 13 | 207 | 13.0% | 37,178 | 13.4% | 11.0% |
| Total | 123 | 1,590 | 100% | 278,565 | 100% | 100% |

- (1) Represents 32 customers with 123 lease expiration dates.
- (2) CRSF is that portion of gross building area where customers locate their computer servers. One MW is equal to 1,000 kW.
- (3) Annualized base rent represents the monthly contractual base rent (defined as cash base rent before abatements) multiplied by 12 for commenced leases as of October 1, 2016.

Top 15 Customers As of October 1, 2016

The following table presents our top 15 customers based on annualized monthly contractual base rent at our operating properties as of October 1, 2016:

| | Customer | Number of Buildings | Number of Markets | Remaining Term | % of Annualized Base Rent (1) |
|------|--|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | Microsoft | 9 | 3 | 7.0 | 26.0% |
| 2 | Facebook | 4 | 1 | 4.1 | 20.1% |
| 3 | Fortune 25 Investment Grade-Rated Company | 3 | 3 | 4.2 | 11.1% |
| 4 | Rackspace | 3 | 2 | 8.8 | 8.9% |
| 5 | Fortune 500 leading Software as a Service (SaaS) Provider, Not Rated | 4 | 2 | 6.6 | 7.6% |
| 6 | Yahoo! (2) | 1 | 1 | 1.6 | 6.0% |
| 7 | Server Central | 1 | 1 | 4.9 | 2.5% |
| 8 | Fortune 50 Investment Grade-Rated Company | 2 | 1 | 3.7 | 2.0% |
| 9 | Dropbox | 1 | 1 | 2.3 | 1.6% |
| 10 | IAC | 1 | 1 | 2.6 | 1.5% |
| 11 | Symantec | 2 | 1 | 2.7 | 1.3% |
| 12 | GoDaddy | 1 | 1 | 10.0 | 1.1% |
| 13 | UBS | 1 | 1 | 8.8 | 1.0% |
| 14 | Anexio | 3 | 1 | 7.3 | 0.9% |
| 15 | Sanofi Aventis | 2 | 1 | 4.8 | 0.9% |
| Tota | al | | | | 92.5% |

- (1) Annualized base rent represents monthly contractual base rent for commenced leases (defined as cash base rent before abatements) multiplied by 12 for commenced leases as of October 1, 2016.
- (2) Comprised of a lease at ACC4 that has been fully subleased to another DFT customer.

Same Store Analysis As of September 30, 2016 (\$ in thousands)

The following tables set forth an analysis of our same store and same store, same capital operating property portfolio for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016. Same store properties represent those properties placed into service on or before January 1, 2015 and owned by the company as of September 30, 2016, which, as of September 30, 2016, include all of our operating properties except CH2, due to its first phase being placed into service in July 2015 and NJ1, due to it being sold in June 2016. Accordingly, same store properties represented 252.1 MW, or 91%, of the 277.7 MW of operating properties in service as of September 30, 2016. Same store, same capital properties represent those operating properties placed into service on or before January 1, 2015, were owned by the company as of September 30, 2016 and have less than 10% of additional critical load developed after January 1, 2015. Accordingly, our same store, same capital properties include all of our operating properties with the exception of CH2 and NJ1, for the reasons described above, ACC7, due to Phase II of this facility being placed into service in December 2015 and Phase III of this facility being placed in to service in June 2016, each of which increased the critical load of ACC7 by over 10%, and SC1, due to phase IIB of this facility being placed into service in May 2015, which increased the critical load at SC1 by over 10%. Same store, same capital properties represented 182.1 MW, or 66%, of the 277.7 MW of operating properties in service as of September 30, 2016.

| Same Store Properties | | Thr | ee Months En | | Nin | ne Months En | ded | |
|--|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|----------|--------------|------------|----------|
| | 30-Sep-16 | 30-Sep-15 | % Change | 30-Jun-16 | % Change | 30-Sep-16 | 30-Sep-15 | % Change |
| Revenue: | | | | | | | | |
| Base rent | \$ 80,466 | \$ 73,500 | 9.5 % | \$ 76,929 | 4.6 % | \$ 234,243 | \$ 212,576 | 10.2 % |
| Recoveries from tenants | 42,011 | 33,210 | 26.5 % | 39,215 | 7.1 % | 116,933 | 96,254 | 21.5 % |
| Other revenues | 573 | 395 | 45.1 % | 435 | 31.7 % | 1,427 | 1,135 | 25.7 % |
| Total revenues | 123,050 | 107,105 | 14.9 % | 116,579 | 5.6 % | 352,603 | 309,965 | 13.8 % |
| Expenses: | | | | | | | | |
| Property operating costs | 37,351 | 29,870 | 25.0 % | 35,022 | 6.7 % | 105,157 | 85,819 | 22.5 % |
| Real estate taxes and insurance | 4,445 | 4,286 | 3.7 % | 4,677 | (5.0)% | 13,208 | 13,525 | (2.3)% |
| Other expenses | 49 | 13 | N/M | (50) | N/M | 106 | 53 | 100.0 % |
| Total expenses | 41,845 | 34,169 | 22.5 % | 39,649 | 5.5 % | 118,471 | 99,397 | 19.2 % |
| Net operating income (1) | 81,205 | 72,936 | 11.3 % | 76,930 | 5.6 % | 234,132 | 210,568 | 11.2 % |
| Charles to the time and a constant | | | | | | | | |
| Straight-line revenues, net of reserve | 794 | 2,534 | N/M | 1,338 | N/M | 339 | 8,325 | N/M |
| Amortization of lease contracts above and below market value | (98) | (585) | N/M | (106) | (7.5)% | (320) | (763) | N/M |
| Cash net operating income (1) | \$ 81,901 | \$ 74,885 | 9.4 % | \$ 78,162 | 4.8 % | \$ 234,151 | \$ 218,130 | 7.3 % |

Note: Same Store Properties represent those properties placed into service on or before January 1, 2015 and excludes CH2. NJ1 is also excluded since it was sold in June 2016.

| Same Store, Same Capital Properties | | Thr | ee Months En | ded | | Nine Months Ended | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|----------|-------------------|------------|----------|
| | 30-Sep-16 | 30-Sep-15 | % Change | 30-Jun-16 | % Change | 30-Sep-16 | 30-Sep-15 | % Change |
| Revenue: | | | | | | | | |
| Base rent | \$ 60,388 | \$ 60,271 | 0.2 % | \$ 59,518 | 1.5 % | \$ 180,153 | \$ 178,842 | 0.7 % |
| Recoveries from tenants | 29,024 | 24,427 | 18.8 % | 27,163 | 6.9 % | 81,440 | 73,684 | 10.5 % |
| Other revenues | 380 | 343 | 10.8 % | 360 | 5.6 % | 1,092 | 1,007 | 8.4 % |
| Total revenues | 89,792 | 85,041 | 5.6 % | 87,041 | 3.2 % | 262,685 | 253,533 | 3.6 % |
| Expenses: | | | | | | | | |
| Property operating costs | 26,300 | 22,210 | 18.4 % | 24,303 | 8.2 % | 73,976 | 65,893 | 12.3 % |
| Real estate taxes and insurance | 2,700 | 2,281 | 18.4 % | 2,995 | (9.8)% | 8,134 | 6,761 | 20.3 % |
| Other expenses | 17 | 9 | N/M | (68) | N/M | 52 | 29 | 79.3 % |
| Total expenses | 29,017 | 24,500 | 18.4 % | 27,230 | 6.6 % | 82,162 | 72,683 | 13.0 % |
| Net operating income (1) | 60,775 | 60,541 | 0.4 % | 59,811 | 1.6 % | 180,523 | 180,850 | (0.2)% |
| Straight-line revenues, net of reserve | 3,020 | 4,244 | (28.8)% | 3,538 | (14.6)% | 7,890 | 12,718 | (38.0)% |
| Amortization of lease contracts above and below market value | (98) | (585) | N/M | (106) | (7.5)% | (320) | (763) | N/M |
| Cash net operating income (1) | \$ 63,697 | \$ 64,200 | (0.8)% | \$ 63,243 | 0.7 % | \$ 188,093 | \$ 192,805 | (2.4)% |

Note: Same Store, Same Capital properties represent those properties placed into service on or before January 1, 2015 and have less than 10% of additional critical load developed after January 1, 2015. Excludes CH2, SC1 and ACC7. NJ1 is also excluded since it was sold in June 2016.

(1) See next page for a reconciliation of Net Operating Income and Cash Net Operating Income to GAAP measures.

Same Store Analysis Reconciliations of Operating Income to Net Operating Income and Cash Net Operating Income (\$\frac{1}{2}\$) (\$\\$\$ in thousands)

Reconciliation of Operating Income to Same Store Net Operating Income and Cash Net Operating Income

| | | Three Months Ended | | | | | Nine Months Ended | | |
|--|-----------|--------------------|----------|-----------|----------|------------|-------------------|----------|--|
| | 30-Sep-16 | 30-Sep-15 | % Change | 30-Jun-16 | % Change | 30-Sep-16 | 30-Sep-15 | % Change | |
| Operating income | \$ 56,380 | \$ 42,978 | 31.2 % | \$ 49,975 | 12.8 % | \$ 155,466 | \$ 119,098 | 30.5 % | |
| Add-back: non-same store operating loss | (418) | 6,138 | (106.8)% | 2,315 | (118.1)% | 4,522 | 20,413 | (77.8)% | |
| Same Store: | | | | | | | | | |
| Operating income | 55,962 | 49,116 | 13.9 % | 52,290 | 7.0 % | 159,988 | 139,511 | 14.7 % | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 25,243 | 23,820 | 6.0 % | 24,640 | 2.4 % | 74,144 | 71,057 | 4.3 % | |
| Net operating income | 81,205 | 72,936 | 11.3 % | 76,930 | 5.6 % | 234,132 | 210,568 | 11.2 % | |
| Straight-line revenues, net of reserve | 794 | 2,534 | N/M | 1,338 | N/M | 339 | 8,325 | N/M | |
| Amortization of lease contracts above and below market value | (98) | (585) | N/M | (106) | (7.5)% | (320) | (763) | N/M | |
| Cash net operating income | \$ 81,901 | \$ 74,885 | 9.4 % | \$ 78,162 | 4.8 % | \$ 234,151 | \$ 218,130 | 7.3 % | |

Reconciliation of Operating Income to Same Store, Same Capital Net Operating Income and Cash Net Operating Income

| | | Thr | ee Months En | ded | | Nin | e Months End | led |
|--|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|----------|------------|--------------|----------|
| | 30-Sep-16 | 30-Sep-15 | % Change | 30-Jun-16 | % Change | 30-Sep-16 | 30-Sep-15 | % Change |
| Operating income | \$ 56,380 | \$ 42,978 | 31.2 % | \$ 49,975 | 12.8 % | \$ 155,466 | \$ 119,098 | 30.5 % |
| Less: non-same store operating (income) loss | (14,583) | (1,617) | N/M | (9,153) | 59.3 % | (31,837) | 3,318 | N/M |
| Same Store: | | | | | | | | |
| Operating income | 41,797 | 41,361 | 1.1 % | 40,822 | 2.4 % | 123,629 | 122,416 | 1.0 % |
| Depreciation and amortization | 18,978 | 19,180 | (1.1)% | 18,989 | (0.1)% | 56,894 | 58,434 | (2.6)% |
| Net operating income | 60,775 | 60,541 | 0.4 % | 59,811 | 1.6 % | 180,523 | 180,850 | (0.2)% |
| Straight-line revenues, net of reserve | 3,020 | 4,244 | (28.8)% | 3,538 | (14.6)% | 7,890 | 12,718 | (38.0)% |
| Amortization of lease contracts above and below market value | (98) | (585) | N/M | (106) | (7.5)% | (320) | (763) | N/M |
| Cash net operating income | \$ 63,697 | \$ 64,200 | (0.8)% | \$ 63,243 | 0.7 % | \$ 188,093 | \$ 192,805 | (2.4)% |

⁽¹⁾ Net Operating Income ("NOI") represents total revenues less property operating costs, real estate taxes and insurance, and other expenses (each as reflected in the consolidated statements of operations) for the properties included in the analysis. Cash Net Operating Income ("Cash NOI") is NOI less straight-line revenues, net of reserve and amortization of lease contracts above and below market value for the properties included in the analysis.

We use NOI and Cash NOI as supplemental performance measures because, in excluding depreciation and amortization, impairment charges on depreciable real estate assets and gains and losses from property dispositions, each provides a performance measure that, when compared period over period, captures trends in occupancy rates, rental rates and operating expenses. However, because NOI and Cash NOI exclude depreciation and amortization, impairment charges on depreciable real estate assets and gains and losses from property dispositions, and capture neither the changes in the value of our properties that result from use or market conditions nor the level of capital expenditures and leasing commissions necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, all of which have real economic effects and could materially impact our results from operations, the utility of NOI and Cash NOI as a measure of our performance is limited.

Other REITs may not calculate NOI and Cash NOI in the same manner we do and, accordingly, our NOI and Cash NOI may not be comparable to the NOI and Cash NOI of other REITs. NOI and Cash NOI should not be considered as an alternative to operating income (as computed in accordance with GAAP).

Development Projects As of September 30, 2016 (\$ in thousands)

| Property | Property Location | Gross Building Area (1) | CRSF (2) | Critical Load MW (3) | Estimated Total Cost (4) | Construction in Progress & Land Held for Development (5) | CRSF % Pre- leased | Critical Load % Pre- leased |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---|
| Current Developmen | nt Projects | | | | | | | |
| ACC7 Phase IV (6) | Ashburn, VA | 96,000 | 52,000 | 8.2 | \$72,000 - \$74,000 | 68,156 | 41% | 49% |
| ACC9 Phase I | Ashburn, VA | 163,000 | 90,000 | 14.4 | 126,000 - 132,000 | 29,718 | % | <u> </u> % |
| ACC9 Phase II | Ashburn, VA | 163,000 | 90,000 | 14.4 | 126,000 - 132,000 | 29,156 | % | <u> </u> |
| CH2 Phase IV | Elk Grove Village, IL | 9,000 | 7,000 | 1.2 | 8,000 - 8,600 | 7,574 | 100% | 100% |
| SC1 Phase III | Santa Clara, CA | 111,000 | 64,000 | 16.0 | 160,000 - 165,000 | 63,945 | 100% | 100% |
| | | 542,000 | 303,000 | 54.2 | 492,000 - 511,600 | 198,549 | | |
| Land/Shell Held for | Development (7) | | | | | | | |
| ACC8 | Ashburn, VA | 100,000 | 50,000 | 10.4 | | 4,248 | | |
| ACC10 | Ashburn, VA | 270,000 | 130,000 | 27.0 | | 8,017 | | |
| ACC11 | Ashburn, VA | 150,000 | 80,000 | 16.0 | | 4,775 | | |
| СН3 | Elk Grove Village, IL | 305,000 | 160,000 | 25.6 | | 11,071 | | |
| OR1 | Hillsboro, OR | 489,000 | 245,000 | 48.0 | | 6,023 | | |
| OR2 | Hillsboro, OR | 489,000 | 245,000 | 48.0 | | 6,022 | | |
| TOR1 | Vaughan, ON | 600,000 | 223,000 | 46.0 | | 43,479 | | |
| | | 2,403,000 | 1,133,000 | 221.0 | | 83,635 | | |
| Total | | 2,945,000 | 1,436,000 | 275.2 | | \$ 282,184 | | |

- (1) Gross building area is the entire building area, including CRSF (the portion of gross building area where our customers' computer servers are located), common areas, areas controlled by us (such as the mechanical, telecommunications and utility rooms) and, in some facilities, individual office and storage space leased on an as available basis to our customers. The respective amounts listed for each of the "Land Held for Development" sites are estimates.
- (2) CRSF is that portion of gross building area where customers locate their computer servers. The respective amounts listed for each of the "Land Held for Development" sites are estimates.
- (3) Critical load (also referred to as IT load or load used by customers' servers or related equipment) is the power available for exclusive use by customers expressed in terms of MW or kW (One MW is equal to 1,000 kW). The respective amounts listed for each of the "Land Held for Development" sites are estimates.
- (4) Current development projects include land, capitalization for construction and development and capitalized interest and operating carrying costs, as applicable, upon completion. Future development projects/phases include land, shell and underground work through the opening of the phase(s) that are either under current development or in service.
- (5) Amount capitalized as of September 30, 2016. Future development projects/phases include land, shell and underground work through the opening of the phase(s) that are either under current development or in service.
- (6) ACC7 Phase IV was placed into service on October 1, 2016.
- (7) Amounts listed for gross building area, CRSF and critical load are estimates.

Leasing

We derive substantially all of our revenue from rents received from customers under existing leases at each of our operating properties. Because we believe that critical load is the primary factor used by customers in evaluating data center requirements, rents are based primarily on the amount of critical power capacity that is made available to customers, rather than the amount of space that they occupy. During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, we executed 13 leases totaling 48.05 MW of critical load and 249,662 CRSF of space with a weighted average lease term of 12.7 years. These leases are expected to generate approximately \$60.2 million of annualized GAAP base rent revenue, which is equivalent to a GAAP rate of approximately \$105 per kW per month. Including estimated amounts of operating expense recoveries for those leases that are structured as triple-net leases, these leases are expected to generate approximately \$76.1 million of annualized revenue before recovery of metered power, which results in a rate of approximately \$132 per kW per month. These leases included the following:

- Three leases at ACC7 Phase III comprising 11.52 MW of critical load and 68,408 CRSF,
- Two pre-leases at ACC7 Phase IV comprising 4.00 MW of critical load and 21,363 CRSF,
- One lease at CH2 Phase I comprising 0.58 MW, which was an increase in power related to an existing lease,
- Two leases at CH2 Phase II comprising 3.42 MW of critical load and 17,830 CRSF,
- Three leases at CH2 Phase III comprising 11.33 MW of critical load and 70,686 CRSF,
- One pre-lease at CH2 Phase IV comprising 1.20 MW of critical load and 7,375 CRSF and
- One pre-lease at SC1 Phase III comprising the entire 16.00 MW of critical load and 64,000 CRSF of this facility.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, we also extended the terms of seven leases totaling 6.68 MW and 40,443 CRSF by a weighted average term of 2.4 years. The lease terms that we extended were as follows:

- One extension at ACC4 comprising 1.14 MW of critical load and 5,400 CRSF,
- Two extensions at ACC5 comprising 3.42 MW of critical load and 16,400 CRSF,
- One extension at ACC6 comprising 0.54 MW of critical load and 2,517 CRSF,
- One extension at NJ1 comprising 0.28 MW of critical load and 1,385 CRSF and
- Two extensions at VA3 comprising 1.30 MW of critical load and 14,741 CRSF.

We generally lease space and power to our customers using a "triple net" lease structure, under which our customers occupy all or a percentage of each of our data centers and, in addition to a monthly base rent fee, are obligated to reimburse us for their share of property-level operating expenses. We also have begun to market space and power to customers under a "full service" lease structure, under which the customer's reimbursement for operating expenses is fixed with annual escalators, excluding increases to certain uncontrollable expenses. We believe the rental rates for full service leases will cover these operating expenses and will provide us with an adequate return on our investment. In 2016, we executed our first agreement under a full service structure. Under all of our leases, customers reimburse us for the cost of the power they use to operate their computer servers and the power that is used to cool their space. We believe that these lease structures, together with the economies of scale resulting from the size of our data centers, result in our customers paying less for power and operating expenses over time than they would in a comparable colocation setting, where power costs often are included in the license fee paid to the provider. Most of our leases provide for annual rent increases, and, as of October 1, 2016, our weighted average remaining lease term for commenced leases was approximately 5.6 years.

Available Data Center Inventory and Current Development Projects

As of October 27, 2016, our operating portfolio was 97% leased and commenced as measured by CRSF and critical load. We have also pre-leased 17.2 MW of available critical load, which will result in additional revenue for us as those leases commence over the next year. The opportunity for further revenue growth in the near term primarily depends on our ability to lease the vacant space in our operating portfolio and the space under development.

We have two data center facilities currently under development with a total of 28.8 MW of available critical load, none of which has been pre-leased, as follows:

- ACC9 Phase I 14.4 MW of available critical load, with completion expected in the second quarter of 2017; and
- ACC9 Phase II 14.4 MW of available critical load, with completion expected in the third quarter of 2017.

We are also developing CH2 Phase IV, with 1.2 MW of available critical load, with completion expected in the fourth quarter of 2016 and SC1 Phase III, with 16.0 MW of available critical load, with completion expected in the third quarter of 2017, but, because both of these developments are 100% pre-leased, there is no space available to lease in them.

Market Conditions

Changes in the conditions of any of the markets in which our operating properties are located, including the economic conditions of a market, the financial condition of customers that procure data center space in a market, and the supply of available data center space in a market, will impact the overall performance of our current and future operating properties and our ability to fully lease our properties. The ability of our customers to fulfill their lease commitments could be impacted by

future economic or regional downturns in the markets in which we operate or downturns in the industries in which our customers operate.

We take into account various factors when negotiating the terms of our leases, which can vary among leases, including the following factors: the customer's strategic importance, growth prospects and credit quality, the length of the lease term, the amount of power leased and competitive market conditions. To determine credit quality, if a prospective customer is a publicly held entity, we evaluate its publicly filed financial statements. If a prospective customer is a privately held entity, we request audited financial statements from the customer if they exist, and unaudited financial statements if audited financial statements do not exist. We also consider any relevant news, market or industry data related to a prospective or existing customer. Furthermore, we also evaluate an existing customer's payment history with us.

In each of our operating properties, we have been able to lease space and power at rates that provide a favorable return on our investment in these facilities. Although rental rates have appeared to stabilize recently, our primary competitors are present in each of the markets in which we operate, resulting in significant competition in each of those markets. It is unclear to what extent this competition will adversely impact the rental rates in future periods, and, in turn, the rates of return of our investments. If the current market conditions were to continue through the terms of our existing leases, the rental rates of a number of leases in our portfolio could be impacted adversely if the existing customers were to either vacate the space or renegotiate the rental rate as a condition to their renewal of the lease. We believe that the base rents of our portfolio of operating properties in the aggregate exceed base rents that currently exist in our relevant markets by approximately 7.5%, on average. Because the terms of the leases in our portfolio expire over a weighted average period of 5.6 years as of September 30, 2016, we cannot predict how the applicable base rents will compare to the market rates at the time that the terms of our leases expire. If we are unable to lease vacant space with rents equal to or above historic rates, the returns on our investments we have achieved to date at our operating properties would be impacted negatively.

For the seven lease term extensions executed during the nine months ended September 30, 2016, which comprises 6.68 MW and 40,443 CRSF, GAAP base rent was 3.4% higher than GAAP base rent prior to the extension. Compared to the cash base rental rate in effect when this extension was executed, cash base rent was 3.0% higher upon commencement of the renewal lease term.

Our taxable REIT subsidiary, DF Technical Services, LLC (the "TRS") generates revenue by providing certain technical services to our customers on a non-recurring contract or purchase-order basis, which we refer to as "a la carte" services. Such services include the installation of circuits, racks, breakers and other customer requested items. The TRS generally charges customers for these services on a cost-plus basis. Because revenue generated by the TRS varies from period to period depending on the needs of the customers for technical services, we have limited ability to forecast future revenue from this source. Moreover, as a taxable corporation, the TRS is subject to federal, state and local corporate taxes and is not required to distribute its income, if any, to the Company for purposes of making additional distributions to DFT's stockholders. However, we routinely assess the cash balance and future liquidity needs of the TRS in determining whether to distribute excess funds to the Company.

Results of Operations

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains stand-alone unaudited financial statements and other financial data for each of DFT and the Operating Partnership. DFT is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership and, as of September 30, 2016, owned 84.5% of the common economic interest in the Operating Partnership, of which approximately 0.9% is held as general partnership units. All of our operations are conducted by the Operating Partnership which is consolidated by DFT, and therefore the following information is the same for DFT and the Operating Partnership, except that net income attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests is not an element of the Operating Partnership's consolidated statement of operations.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2016 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2015

Operating Revenue. Operating revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2016 was \$134.3 million. This includes base rent of \$88.6 million, tenant recoveries of \$44.4 million and other revenue of \$1.3 million, including revenue from a la carte projects for our customers performed by the TRS. This compares to revenue of \$115.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015. The increase of \$19.0 million, or 16.5%, was primarily due to increased revenue from placing CH2 Phases I-III and ACC7 Phases II-III into service and the 2015 write-off of the above/below market leases related to a former customer in bankruptcy, partially offset by the cessation of revenue at NJ1 due to its sale in June 2016, a decrease in base rent on the new lease at ACC2, a decrease in base rent from the customer who replaced a former bankrupt customer and a decrease in other income due to a decrease in a la carte projects for our customers performed by the TRS.

Operating Expenses. Operating expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2016 were \$77.9 million, compared to \$72.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015. The increase of \$5.5 million, or 7.6%, was primarily due to increased operating costs from placing CH2 Phases I-III and ACC7 Phases II-III into service and a \$1.1 million increase in general and administrative expenses driven by higher company headcount, partially offset by the cessation of operating expenses at NJ1 due to its sale in June 2016 and a decrease in other expenses due to a decrease in a la carte projects for our customers performed by the TRS.

Interest Expense. Interest expense, including amortization of deferred financing costs, for the three months ended September 30, 2016 was \$14.0 million compared to interest expense of \$12.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015. Total interest incurred for the three months ended September 30, 2016 was \$15.7 million, of which \$1.7 million was capitalized, as compared to \$15.4 million for the corresponding period in 2015, of which \$2.8 million was capitalized. The increase in total interest incurred was primarily due to an increase in LIBOR-based interest rates. The decrease in capitalized interest is from placing ACC7 Phases II-III and CH2 Phases II-III into service.

Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt. Loss on early extinguishment of debt was \$1.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016, compared to none for the three months ended September 30, 2015. The loss was due to the write-off of fees related to the refinancing of our Unsecured Credit Facility and Unsecured Term Loan in July 2016.

Net Income Attributable to Redeemable Noncontrolling interests – Operating Partnership (DFT only). Net income attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership was \$5.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016, compared to \$4.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015. The increase of \$0.6 million was primarily due to an increase in net income.

Net Income Attributable to Common Shares. Net income attributable to common shares for the three months ended September 30, 2016 was \$28.5 million compared to \$19.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015. The increase of \$9.4 million was primarily due to higher revenue and a decrease in preferred stock dividends resulting from the redemption of our Series A and Series B preferred stock and issuance of our Series C preferred stock, partially offset by higher interest expense and higher operating expenses, each described above, and the write off of offering costs of \$3.7 million from the redemption of the remaining portion of the outstanding shares of our Series B preferred stock.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015

Operating Revenue. Operating revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was \$387.0 million. This includes base rent of \$254.5 million, tenant recoveries of \$124.8 million and other revenue of \$7.7 million, including revenue from a la carte projects for our customers performed by the TRS. This compares to revenue of \$336.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. The increase of \$50.5 million, or 15.0%, was primarily due to increased revenue from placing SC1 Phase IIB, CH2 Phases I-III, and ACC7 Phases II-III into service and the 2015 write-off of the above/below market leases related to a former customer in bankruptcy, partially offset by the cessation of revenue at NJ1 due to its sale in June 2016, a decrease in the base rent on the new lease at ACC2, a decrease in base rent from the customer who replaced a former bankrupt customer and a decrease in other income due to a decrease in a la carte projects for our customers performed by the TRS.

Operating Expenses. Operating expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 were \$231.5 million, compared to \$217.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. The increase of \$14.1 million, or 6.5%, was primarily due to increased operating costs from placing SC1 Phase IIB, CH2 Phases I-III, and ACC7 Phases II-III into service and a \$3.1 million increase in general and administrative expenses driven by a higher company headcount, partially offset by the cessation of operating expenses at NJ1 due to its sale in June 2016 and a decrease in other expenses due to a charge of \$5.6 million in the first quarter of 2015 for severance compensation and the acceleration of unvested equity awards associated with the departure of our former chief executive officer and a decrease in a la carte projects for our customers performed by the TRS.

Interest Expense. Interest expense, including amortization of deferred financing costs, for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was \$38.8 million compared to interest expense of \$31.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. Total interest incurred for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was \$47.0 million, of which \$8.2 million was capitalized, as compared to \$40.3 million for the corresponding period in 2015, of which \$9.1 million was capitalized. The increase in total interest was primarily due to increased borrowings to fund the development of data centers and higher rates due to the completion of the offering of the Unsecured Notes due 2023 in June 2015 and an increase in LIBOR-based interest rates.

Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt. Loss on early extinguishment of debt was \$1.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, compared to none for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. The loss of was due to the write-off of fees related to the refinancing of our Unsecured Credit Facility and Unsecured Term Loan in July 2016.

Gain on Sale of Real Estate. Gain on sale of real estate was \$22.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, compared to none for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. The gain was recognized on the sale of NJ1. We recognized an impairment loss in the fourth quarter of 2015 for NJ1.

Net Income Attributable to Redeemable Noncontrolling interests – Operating Partnership (DFT only). Net income attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests – operating partnership was \$18.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, compared to \$12.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. The increase of \$5.2 million was primarily due to an increase in net income.

Net Income Attributable to Common Shares. Net income attributable to common shares for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was \$90.2 million compared to \$54.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. The increase of \$35.7 million was primarily due to higher revenue and the gain on the sale of NJ1, partially offset by higher interest expense and higher operating expenses, each described above, and the write off of \$12.5 million in offering costs from the redemption of all of the outstanding shares of our Series A and Series B preferred stock.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Discussion of Cash Flows

The discussion of cash flows below is for both DFT and the Operating Partnership. The only difference between the cash flows of DFT and the Operating Partnership for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was a \$4.2 million bank account at DFT that is not part of the Operating Partnership.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015

Net cash provided by operating activities increased by \$6.5 million, or 3.4%, to \$197.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, as compared to \$191.4 million for the corresponding period in 2015. The increase was due to an increase in income excluding the gain on sale of NJ1 and an increase in prepaid rent and other liabilities, partially offset by an increase in prepaid expenses and other assets and accounts payable and accrued liabilities.

Net cash used in investing activities decreased by \$32.1 million or 18.4% to \$142.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to \$174.4 million for the corresponding period in 2015. The decrease is due to \$123.5 million in net proceeds received from the sale of NJ1, partially offset by increases of \$73.3 million in real estate acquisitions, \$25.6 million in expenditures for projects under development and \$1.5 million in improvements to real estate due to costs incurred at ACC2 to prepare the space for its new customer. During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, cash used for development was primarily for the construction of CH2 Phases II-IV, ACC7 Phases III-IV, ACC9 Phase I and SC1 Phase III. Real estate acquisition costs included a \$41.9 million purchase of a shell building and associated land in Vaughan, Ontario for the future development of our TOR1 data center, including settlement charges, a \$20.2 million purchase of two parcels of undeveloped land in Ashburn, Virginia, which we are using for the current development of our ACC9 data center, the future development of a data center to be known as ACC10 and the future development of either a powered base shell or a build-to-suit data center to be known as ACC11, and an \$11.2 million purchase of land in Hillsboro, Oregon for the future development of our OR1 and OR2 data centers. During the nine months ended September 30, 2015, cash used for development was primarily for the construction of CH2 Phase I, CH2 Phase II, ACC7 Phase II, ACC7 Phase III and SC1 Phase IIB and an \$8.6 million purchase of land in Elk Grove Village, Illinois that will be used for the future development of CH3.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$25.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$21.2 million in the corresponding period in 2015. Net cash used in financing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 consisted of \$351.3 million used to redeem all the outstanding shares of our Series A and Series B preferred stock, \$143.6 million paid for dividends and distributions,\$5.6 million in financing costs paid in connection with the amended and restated credit agreement, \$2.5 million in principal repayments on our ACC3 term loan and \$2.3 million of cash used to pay the withholding taxes for those of our employees who elected to forfeit shares of common stock to cover the withholding obligations upon the vesting of equity-based awards, partially offset by \$275.5 million in net proceeds from the issuance of common stock, \$194.3 million in net proceeds from the issuance of Series C preferred stock and \$10.6 million in proceeds from stock option exercises. Net cash provided by financing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 consisted of \$248.0 million in proceeds from the issuance of our Unsecured Notes due 2023 in June 2015 and \$120.0 million of advances under our Unsecured Credit Facility, partially offset by \$180.0 million in repayments on our Unsecured Credit Facility, \$122.5 million paid for dividends and distributions, \$31.9 million used for repurchases of our common stock, \$7.6 million of cash used in connection with the payment of withholding taxes for employees upon the vesting of performance shares, restricted common stock and grants of unrestricted common stock and \$4.7 million in financing costs paid in connection with the issuance of the Unsecured Notes due 2023 and the amendment of our Unsecured Credit Facility.

Market Capitalization

The following table sets forth our total market capitalization as of September 30, 2016:

Capital Structure as of September 30, 2016 (in thousands except per share data)

| Line of Credit | | | | \$ _ | |
|---|------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|
| Mortgage Notes Payable | | | | 112,500 | |
| Unsecured Term Loan | | | | 250,000 | |
| Unsecured Notes | | | | 850,000 | |
| Total Debt | | | | 1,212,500 | 23.8% |
| Common Shares | 85% | 75,576 | | | |
| Operating Partnership ("OP") Units | 15% | 13,810 | | | |
| Total Shares and Units | 100% | 89,386 | | | |
| Common Share Price at September 30, 2016 | | \$ 41.25 | | | |
| Common Share and OP Unit Capitalization | | | \$ 3,687,173 | | |
| Preferred Stock (\$25 per share liquidation preference) | | | 201,250 | | |
| Total Equity | | | | 3,888,423 | 76.2% |
| Total Market Capitalization | | | | \$ 5,100,923 | 100.0% |

Capital Resources

The development of wholesale data centers is capital intensive. Such development not only requires us to make substantial capital investments, but also increases our operating expenses, which negatively impacts our cash flows from operations until leases are executed and we begin to collect cash rents from these leases. In addition, because DFT has elected to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we are required to distribute at least 90% of our taxable income, excluding any net capital gain, to our stockholders annually. Accordingly, we fund a portion of the cost of data center development from additional capital because cash provided by operating activities is not sufficient to fund our development costs after we make the required dividends and distributions to our stockholders and unitholders.

In 2016, we expect to meet our liquidity needs primarily from the cash proceeds from the secondary offering of common stock that we completed in March 2016 and the offering of Series C preferred stock that we completed in May 2016, each further described below, cash provided by operating activities, proceeds from the sale of our NJ1 data center facility in June 2016 and borrowings from our Unsecured Credit Facility.

In March 2016, we completed a secondary underwritten public offering of 7,613,000 shares of common stock, at a public offering price of \$37.75 per share. Net proceeds from the offering were \$275.5 million, after deducting the underwriting discount and other offering expenses.

In May 2016, we completed an underwritten public offering of 8,050,000 shares of Series C cumulative redeemable perpetual preferred stock at a public offering price of \$25.00 per share. Net proceeds from the offering were \$194.3 million, after deducting the underwriting discount and other offering expenses.

In June 2016, we sold our NJ1 data center facility for a purchase price of \$125.0 million. Net proceeds from the sale were approximately \$123.5 million after deducting selling costs.

For future developments, we expect to utilize funds available under our Unsecured Credit Facility, once we have used the proceeds from the secondary offering and exhausted the other funding sources noted above. Beyond 2016, we plan to fund development costs, at least temporarily, by drawing funds from this facility until we obtain permanent capital financing, which we expect to obtain through unsecured and secured borrowings, construction financings and the issuance of additional preferred and/or common equity when market conditions permit. In determining the source of capital to meet our long-term liquidity needs, we will evaluate our level of indebtedness and covenants, in particular with respect to the covenants under our unsecured notes and unsecured line of credit, our expected cash flow from operations, the state of the capital markets, interest rates and other terms for borrowing, and the relative timing considerations and costs of borrowing or issuing equity securities.

DFT's ability to pay dividends to its stockholders is dependent on the receipt of distributions from the Operating Partnership, which in turn is dependent on the data center properties generating operating income. The indentures that govern

our Unsecured Notes due 2021 and Unsecured Notes due 2023 limit DFT's ability to pay dividends, but allow DFT to pay the minimum dividends necessary to meet its REIT income distribution requirements.

Debt Summary as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 (\$ in thousands)

| | September 30, 2016 | | | | | ember 31, 2015 |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|-----------------------|----|----------------|
| | Amounts (1) | % of Total | Rates | Maturities (years) | | Amounts |
| Secured | \$ 112,500 | 9% | 2.1% | 1.5 | \$ | 115,000 |
| Unsecured | 1,100,000 | 91% | 4.9% | 5.4 | | 1,100,000 |
| Total | \$ 1,212,500 | 100% | 4.7% | 5.1 | \$ | 1,215,000 |
| Fixed Rate Debt: | | | | | | |
| Unsecured Notes due 2021 | \$ 600,000 | 49% | 5.9% | 5.0 | \$ | 600,000 |
| Unsecured Notes due 2023 (2) | 250,000 | 21% | 5.6% | 6.7 | | 250,000 |
| Fixed Rate Debt | \$ 850,000 | 70% | 5.8% | 5.5 | \$ | 850,000 |
| Floating Rate Debt: | | | | | | |
| Unsecured Credit Facility | _ | <u> % </u> | <u> % </u> | 3.8 | | _ |
| Unsecured Term Loan | 250,000 | 21% | 2.0% | 5.3 | | 250,000 |
| ACC3 Term Loan | 112,500 | 9% | 2.1% | 1.5 | | 115,000 |
| Floating Rate Debt | 362,500 | 30% | 2.0% | 4.1 | | 365,000 |
| Total | \$ 1,212,500 | 100% | 4.7% | 5.1 | \$ | 1,215,000 |
| | | | | | | |

- (1) Principal amounts exclude deferred financing costs.
- (2) Principal amount excludes original issue discount of \$1.7 million.

Presented below is a reconciliation of principal debt amounts outstanding to their respective amounts presented on our consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2016 (in thousands):

| | | September 30, 2016 | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----|--------------------|----|--------------------------------------|----|-----------------------------------|----|---------------------|
| | P | rincipal Balance | L | ess: Original Issue Discount, net | | Less: Deferred Financing Costs | Ва | nlance Sheet Amount |
| Unsecured Credit Facility | \$ | _ | \$ | _ | | N/A | \$ | _ |
| ACC3 Term Loan | | 112,500 | | _ | | (625) | | 111,875 |
| Unsecured Term Loan | | 250,000 | | _ | | (1,017) | | 248,983 |
| Unsecured Notes due 2021 | | 600,000 | | _ | | (8,312) | | 591,688 |
| Unsecured Notes due 2023 | | 250,000 | | (1,722) | | (3,234) | | 245,044 |
| Total | \$ | 1,212,500 | \$ | (1,722) | \$ | (13,188) | \$ | 1,197,590 |

Outstanding Indebtedness

Unsecured Credit Facility and Unsecured Term Loan

On July 25, 2016, we entered into an amended and restated credit agreement with a syndicate of banks (the "Amended and Restated Credit Agreement") that includes the following:

- an unsecured revolving credit facility with a total commitment of \$750 million (the "Unsecured Credit Facility"); and
- an unsecured term loan facility, which has a total commitment and amount outstanding of \$250 million (the ("Unsecured Term Loan").

At our option, we may increase the total commitment under the Unsecured Credit Facility and the Unsecured Term Loan to \$1.25 billion, if one or more lenders commit to being a lender for the additional amount and certain other customary conditions are met.

Prior to July 25, 2016, the Unsecured Credit Facility and the Unsecured Term Loan were outstanding under separate credit agreements.

The obligations under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement are unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a senior unsecured basis by DFT and all of the Operating Partnership's subsidiaries that currently guaranty the obligations under the Unsecured Notes due 2021, listed below. Prior to July 25, 2016, the separate credit agreements that governed the Unsecured Credit Facility and the Unsecured Term Loan provided for substantially the same guarantees as the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement. We may prepay the Unsecured Credit Facility and Unsecured Term Loan at any time, in whole or in part, without penalty or premium.

The Amended and Restated Credit Agreement requires that DFT, the Operating Partnership and their subsidiaries comply with various covenants, including with respect to restrictions on liens, incurring indebtedness, making investments, effecting mergers and/or asset sales, and certain limits on dividend payments, distributions and purchases of DFT's stock. In addition, the facility imposes financial maintenance covenants relating to, among other things, the following matters:

- unsecured debt not exceeding 60% of the value of unencumbered assets, subject to an increase up to 65% following a material acquisition;
- net operating income generated from unencumbered properties divided by the amount of unsecured debt (net of unrestricted cash and cash equivalents) being not less than 12.5%, subject to a decrease to not less than 10% following a material acquisition;
- total indebtedness not exceeding 60% of gross asset value, subject to an increase up to 65% following a material
 acquisition;
- fixed charge coverage ratio being not less than 1.70 to 1.00; and
- tangible net worth being not less than \$2.3 billion plus 75% of the sum of (i) net equity offering proceeds after July 25, 2016 (but excluding such net offering proceeds that are used within ninety (90) days following the consummation of the applicable equity offering for permitted equity redemptions) and (ii) the value of equity interests issued in connection with a contribution of assets to the Borrower or its subsidiaries; and
- until an investment grade unsecured debt credit rating has been achieved, unhedged variable rate debt not exceeding 30% of gross asset value.

The Amended and Restated Credit Agreement includes customary events of default, the occurrence of which, following any applicable cure period, would permit the lenders to, among other things, declare the principal, accrued interest and other obligations of the Operating Partnership under the facility to be immediately due and payable.

We were in compliance with all covenants under the Unsecured Credit Facility and the Unsecured Term Loan as of September 30, 2016.

The Unsecured Credit Facility matures on July 25, 2020.

We may elect to have borrowings under the Unsecured Credit Facility bear interest at either LIBOR or a base rate, which is based on the lender's prime rate, in each case plus an applicable margin. Prior to our receiving an investment grade credit rating, the applicable margin added to LIBOR and the base rate is based on the table below.

| | | Applicable | Margin |
|---------------|--|------------------|-----------------|
| Pricing Level | Ratio of Total Indebtedness to Gross Asset Value | LIBOR Rate Loans | Base Rate Loans |
| Level 1 | Less than or equal to 35% | 1.55% | 0.55% |
| Level 2 | Greater than 35% but less than or equal to 40% | 1.65% | 0.65% |
| Level 3 | Greater than 40% but less than or equal to 45% | 1.80% | 0.80% |
| Level 4 | Greater than 45% but less than or equal to 52.5% | 1.95% | 0.95% |
| Level 5 | Greater than 52.5% | 2.15% | 1.15% |

The applicable margin is currently set at pricing Level 1. The terms of the Unsecured Credit Facility provide for the adjustment of the applicable margin from time to time according to the ratio of the Operating Partnership's total indebtedness to gross asset value in effect from time to time.

In the event we receive an investment grade credit rating, borrowings under the Unsecured Credit Facility will bear interest based on the table below.

| | | Applicable | Margin |
|----------------------------|---|------------------|-----------------|
| Credit Rating Level | Credit Rating | LIBOR Rate Loans | Base Rate Loans |
| Level 1 | Greater than or equal to A- by S&P or A3 by Moody's | 0.85% | 0.00% |
| Level 2 | Greater than or equal to BBB+ by S&P or Baa1 by Moody's | 0.90% | 0.00% |
| Level 3 | Greater than or equal to BBB by S&P or Baa2 by Moody's | 1.00% | 0.00% |
| Level 4 | Greater than or equal to BBB- by S&P or Baa3 by Moody's | 1.20% | 0.20% |
| Level 5 | Less than BBB- by S&P or Baa3 by Moody's | 1.55% | 0.55% |

Annlicable Margin

Following the receipt of such investment grade rating, the terms of the Unsecured Credit Facility provide for the adjustment of the applicable margin from time to time according to the rating then in effect.

The amount available for borrowings under the Unsecured Credit Facility is determined according to a calculation comparing the value of certain unencumbered properties designated by the Operating Partnership at such time relative to the amount of the Operating Partnership's unsecured debt. Up to \$35 million of the borrowings under the Unsecured Credit Facility may be used for letters of credit.

As of September 30, 2016, we had no letters of credit outstanding and no borrowings outstanding under this Unsecured Credit Facility.

The Unsecured Term Loan matures on January 21, 2022.

Under the terms of the Unsecured Term Loan, we may elect to have borrowings under the loan bear interest at either LIBOR or a base rate, which is based on the lender's prime rate, in each case plus an applicable margin. Prior to our receiving an investment grade credit rating, the applicable margin added to LIBOR and the base rate is based on the table below.

| | | Applicable | Margin |
|----------------------|--|------------------|-----------------|
| Pricing Level | Ratio of Total Indebtedness to Gross Asset Value | LIBOR Rate Loans | Base Rate Loans |
| Level 1 | Less than or equal to 35% | 1.50% | 0.50% |
| Level 2 | Greater than 35% but less than or equal to 40% | 1.60% | 0.60% |
| Level 3 | Greater than 40% but less than or equal to 45% | 1.75% | 0.75% |
| Level 4 | Greater than 45% but less than or equal to 52.5% | 1.90% | 0.90% |
| Level 5 | Greater than 52.5% | 2.10% | 1.10% |

The applicable margin is currently set at pricing Level 1. The terms of the Unsecured Term Loan also provide that, in the event we receive an investment grade credit rating, borrowings under the loan will bear interest based on the table below.

| | | Applicable | Margin |
|----------------------------|---|------------------|-----------------|
| Credit Rating Level | Credit Rating | LIBOR Rate Loans | Base Rate Loans |
| Level 1 | Greater than or equal to A- by S&P or A3 by Moody's | 0.825% | 0.00% |
| Level 2 | Greater than or equal to BBB+ by S&P or Baa1 by Moody's | 0.875% | 0.00% |
| Level 3 | Greater than or equal to BBB by S&P or Baa2 by Moody's | 1.00% | 0.00% |
| Level 4 | Greater than or equal to BBB- by S&P or Baa3 by Moody's | 1.25% | 0.25% |
| Level 5 | Less than BBB- by S&P or Baa3 by Moody's | 1.65% | 0.65% |

Following the receipt of such investment grade rating, the terms of the loan provide for the adjustment of the applicable margin from time to time according to the rating then in effect.

ACC3 Term Loan

We have a \$112.5 million term loan facility, the ACC3 Term Loan, that is secured by our ACC3 data center facility and an assignment of the lease agreement between us and the customer of ACC3. The borrower, one of our subsidiaries, may elect to have borrowings under the ACC3 Term Loan bear interest at (i) LIBOR plus 1.55% or (ii) a base rate, which is based on the lender's prime rate, plus 0.55%. The interest rate is currently at LIBOR plus 1.55%. The ACC3 Term Loan matures on March 27, 2018, and we may prepay the ACC3 Term Loan at any time, in whole or in part, without penalty or premium. The Operating Partnership has guaranteed the outstanding principal amount of the ACC3 Term Loan, plus interest and certain costs under the loan.

The ACC3 Term Loan imposes financial maintenance covenants relating to, among other things, the following matters:

- consolidated total indebtedness of the Operating Partnership not exceeding 60% of gross asset value of the Operating Partnership;
- fixed charge coverage ratio of the Operating Partnership being not less than 1.70 to 1.00;
- tangible net worth of the Operating Partnership being not less than \$1.3 billion plus 80% of the sum of (i) net equity offering proceeds and (ii) the value of equity interests issued in connection with a contribution of assets to the Operating Partnership or its subsidiaries; and
- debt service coverage ratio of the borrower not less than 1.50 to 1.00.

We were in compliance with all of the covenants under the ACC3 Term Loan as of September 30, 2016.

Unsecured Notes due 2021

On September 24, 2013, the Operating Partnership completed the sale of \$600 million of 5.875% senior unsecured notes due 2021, which we refer to as the Unsecured Notes due 2021. The Unsecured Notes due 2021 were issued at face value and mature on September 15, 2021. We pay interest on the Unsecured Notes due 2021 semi-annually, in arrears, on March 15th and September 15th of each year.

The Unsecured Notes due 2021 are unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a senior unsecured basis by DFT and certain of the Operating Partnership's subsidiaries, including the subsidiaries that own the ACC2, ACC4, ACC5, ACC6, VA3, VA4, CH1 and SC1 data centers (collectively, the "Subsidiary Guarantors"), but excluding the subsidiaries that own the ACC3, ACC7, ACC9 and CH2 data centers, the ACC8, ACC10, ACC11, CH3, OR1 and OR2 parcels of land, the TOR1 shell building and land, our taxable REIT subsidiary, DF Technical Services LLC and our property management subsidiary, DF Property Management LLC.

The Unsecured Notes due 2021 rank (i) equally in right of payment with all of the Operating Partnership's existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness, (ii) senior in right of payment with all of its existing and future subordinated indebtedness, (iii) effectively subordinate to any of the Operating Partnership's existing and future secured indebtedness and (iv) effectively junior to any liabilities of any subsidiaries of the Operating Partnership that do not guarantee the Unsecured Notes due 2021. The guarantees of the Unsecured Notes due 2021 by DFT and the Subsidiary Guarantors rank (i) equally in right of payment with such guarantor's existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness, (ii) senior in right of payment with all of such guarantor's existing and future subordinated indebtedness and (iii) effectively subordinate to any of such guarantor's existing and future secured indebtedness.

The Unsecured Notes due 2021 may be redeemed at the Operating Partnership's option, in whole or in part, at any time, at the following redemption prices (expressed as percentages of the principal amount thereof) if redeemed during the 12-month period commencing September 15 of the years indicated below, in each case together with accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption:

| <u>Year</u> | Redemption Price |
|---------------------|------------------|
| 2016 | 104.406% |
| 2017 | 102.938% |
| 2018 | 101.469% |
| 2019 and thereafter | 100.000% |

If there is a change of control (as defined in the indenture governing the Unsecured Notes due 2021) of the Operating Partnership or DFT, we must offer to purchase the Unsecured Notes due 2021 at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest. In addition, in certain circumstances we may be required to use the net proceeds of asset sales to purchase a portion of the Unsecured Notes due 2021 at 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

The Unsecured Notes due 2021 have certain covenants limiting the ability of or prohibiting the Operating Partnership and certain of its subsidiaries from, among other things, (i) incurring secured or unsecured indebtedness, (ii) entering into sale and leaseback transactions, (iii) making certain dividend payments, distributions, purchases of DFT's common stock and investments, (iv) entering into transactions with affiliates, (v) entering into agreements limiting the ability to make certain transfers and other payments from subsidiaries, (vi) engaging in sales of assets or (vii) engaging in certain mergers, consolidations or transfers/sales of all or substantially all assets. However, DFT may pay the minimum dividend necessary to meet its REIT income distribution requirements.

The Unsecured Notes due 2021 also require the Operating Partnership and the Subsidiary Guarantors to maintain total unencumbered assets of at least 150% of their unsecured debt on a consolidated basis. The Unsecured Notes due 2021 also have customary events of default, including, but not limited to, nonpayment, breach of covenants, and payment or acceleration defaults in certain other indebtedness of ours or certain of our subsidiaries. Upon an event of default, the holders of the Unsecured Notes due 2021 or the trustee may declare the Unsecured Notes due 2021 due and immediately payable. We were in compliance with all covenants under the Unsecured Notes due 2021 as of September 30, 2016.

Unsecured Notes due 2023

On June 9, 2015, the Operating Partnership completed the sale of \$250 million of 5.625% senior unsecured notes due 2023, which we refer to as the Unsecured Notes due 2023. The Unsecured Notes due 2023 were issued at 99.205% of par and mature on June 15, 2023. We will pay interest on the Unsecured Notes due 2023 semi-annually, in arrears, on June 15th and December 15th of each year.

The Unsecured Notes due 2023 are unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a senior unsecured basis by DFT and the same Subsidiary Guarantors as those that guarantee the Unsecured Notes due 2021.

The ranking of the Unsecured Notes due 2023 and the guarantees of these notes are the same as the ranking of the Unsecured Notes due 2021 and the guarantee of those notes.

At any time prior to June 15, 2018, the Operating Partnership may redeem the Unsecured Notes due 2023, in whole or in part, at a price equal to the sum of (i) 100% of the principal amount of the Unsecured Notes due 2023 to be redeemed, plus (ii) a make-whole premium and accrued and unpaid interest. The Unsecured Notes due 2023 may be redeemed at the Operating Partnership's option, in whole or in part, at any time, on and after June 15, 2018 at the following redemption prices (expressed as percentages of the principal amount thereof) if redeemed during the 12-month period commencing June 15 of the years indicated below, in each case together with accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption:

| Year | Redemption Price |
|---------------------|------------------|
| 2018 | 104.219% |
| 2019 | 102.813% |
| 2020 | 101.406% |
| 2021 and thereafter | 100.000% |

If there is a change of control (as defined in the indenture governing the Unsecured Notes due 2023) of the Operating Partnership or DFT, we must offer to purchase the Unsecured Notes due 2023 at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest. In addition, in certain circumstances we may be required to use the net proceeds of asset sales to purchase a portion of the Unsecured Notes due 2023 at 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

The Unsecured Notes due 2023 have certain covenants limiting or prohibiting the ability of the Operating Partnership and certain of its subsidiaries from, among other things, (i) incurring secured or unsecured indebtedness, (ii) entering into sale and leaseback transactions, (iii) making certain dividend payments, distributions, purchases of DFT's common stock and investments, (iv) entering into transactions with affiliates, (v) entering into agreements limiting the ability to make certain transfers and other payments from subsidiaries, (vi) engaging in sales of assets or (vii) engaging in certain mergers, consolidations or transfers/sales of all or substantially all assets. However, DFT may pay the minimum dividend necessary to meet its REIT income distribution requirements.

The Unsecured Notes due 2023 also require the Operating Partnership and the Subsidiary Guarantors to maintain total unencumbered assets of at least 150% of their unsecured debt on a consolidated basis. The Unsecured Notes due 2023 also have customary events of default, including, but not limited to, nonpayment, breach of covenants, and payment or acceleration defaults in certain other indebtedness of ours or certain of our subsidiaries. Upon an event of default, the holders of the Unsecured Notes due 2023 or the trustee may declare the Unsecured Notes due 2023 due and immediately payable. We were in compliance with all covenants under the Unsecured Notes due 2023 as of September 30, 2016.

A summary of our debt maturity schedule as of September 30, 2016 is as follows:

Debt Maturity as of September 30, 2016 (\$ in thousands)

| Year | Fixed Rate (1) | Floating Rate (1) | Total (1) | % of Total | Rates |
|-------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|------------|----------|
| 2016 | \$ — | \$ 1,250 (4) | \$ 1,250 | 0.1% | 2.1% |
| 2017 | | 8,750 (4) | 8,750 | 0.7% | 2.1% |
| 2018 | _ | 102,500 (4) | 102,500 | 8.5% | 2.1% |
| 2019 | | _ | | % | <u> </u> |
| 2020 | _ | _ | _ | | <u> </u> |
| 2021 | 600,000 (2) | _ | 600,000 | 49.5% | 5.9% |
| 2022 | _ | 250,000 (5) | 250,000 | 20.6% | 2.0% |
| 2023 | 250,000 (3) | | 250,000 | 20.6% | 5.6% |
| Total | \$ 850,000 | \$ 362,500 | \$ 1,212,500 | 100.0% | 4.7% |

- (1) Principal amounts exclude deferred financing costs.
- (2) The 5.875% Unsecured Notes due 2021 mature on September 15, 2021.
- (3) The 5.625% Unsecured Notes due 2023 mature on June 15, 2023. Principal amount excludes original issue discount of \$1.7 million as of September 30, 2016.
- (4) The ACC3 Term Loan matures on March 27, 2018 with no extension option. Quarterly principal payments of \$1.25 million began on April 1, 2016, increase to \$2.5 million on April 1, 2017 and continue through maturity.
- (5) The Unsecured Term Loan matures on January 21, 2022 with no extension option.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of September 30, 2016, including the maturities assuming extension options are not exercised and scheduled principal repayments of the ACC3 Term Loan (in thousands):

| Obligation | 2016 | : | 2017-2018 | | 2019-2020 | | Thereafter | Total | | |
|--|---------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|------------|-------|-----------|--|
| Long-term debt obligations | \$ 1,250 | \$ | 111,250 | \$ | | \$ | 1,100,000 | \$ | 1,212,500 | |
| Interest on long-term debt obligations | 9,394 | | 115,420 | | 111,881 | | 75,832 | | 312,527 | |
| Construction costs payable | 46,549 | | _ | | _ | | _ | | 46,549 | |
| Commitments under development contracts | 80,731 | | _ | | _ | | _ | | 80,731 | |
| Commitment under land purchase agreement | 10,000 | | _ | | _ | | _ | | 10,000 | |
| Operating leases | 175 | | 1,459 | | 1,578 | | 2,613 | | 5,825 | |
| Total | \$ 148,099 | \$ | 228,129 | \$ | 113,459 | \$ | 1,178,445 | \$ | 1,668,132 | |

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of September 30, 2016, the Company did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

The following table presents our reconciliations of net income to NAREIT funds from operations, or FFO, normalized funds from operations, or Normalized FFO, and adjusted funds from operations, or AFFO.

| | Three months ended September 30, | | | Nine months ended September 30, | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------|----|------------------------------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|
| | | 2016 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2015 |
| Net income | \$ | 40,966 | \$ | 30,393 | \$ | 138,220 | \$ | 87,867 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 27,493 | | 26,433 | | 79,659 | | 77,645 |
| Less: Non real estate depreciation and amortization | | (221) | | (202) | | (615) | | (503) |
| Gain on sale of real estate | | 231 | | _ | | (22,833) | | _ |
| NAREIT FFO | | 68,469 | | 56,624 | | 194,431 | | 165,009 |
| Preferred stock dividends | | (3,630) | | (6,811) | | (17,405) | | (20,433) |
| Issuance costs associated with redeemed preferred shares | | (3,668) | | _ | | (12,495) | | _ |
| NAREIT FFO attributable to common shares and common units | | 61,171 | | 49,813 | | 164,531 | | 144,576 |
| Severance expense and equity acceleration | | _ | | 546 | | 891 | | 6,124 |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt | | 1,232 | | _ | | 1,232 | | _ |
| Issuance costs associated with redeemed preferred shares | | 3,668 | | | | 12,495 | | _ |
| Normalized FFO attributable to common shares and common units | | 66,071 | | 50,359 | | 179,149 | | 150,700 |
| Straight-line revenues, net of reserve | | (133) | | 4,260 | | (1,174) | | 13,410 |
| Amortization and write-off of lease contracts above and below market value | | (98) | | (585) | | (320) | | (763) |
| Compensation paid with Company common shares | | 1,581 | | 1,326 | | 4,871 | | 3,955 |
| Non real estate depreciation and amortization | | 221 | | 202 | | 615 | | 503 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | | 1,016 | | 904 | | 2,780 | | 2,240 |
| Improvements to real estate | | (874) | | (1,185) | | (3,972) | | (2,433) |
| Capitalized leasing commissions | | (184) | | (14) | | (3,634) | | (2,026) |
| AFFO attributable to common shares and common units | \$ | 67,600 | \$ | 55,267 | \$ | 178,315 | \$ | 165,586 |
| NAREIT FFO attributable to common shares and common units per share – diluted | \$ | 0.68 | \$ | 0.61 | \$ | 1.88 | \$ | 1.78 |
| Normalized FFO attributable to common shares and common units per share – diluted | \$ | 0.73 | \$ | 0.62 | \$ | 2.04 | \$ | 1.85 |
| Weighted average common shares and common units outstanding – diluted | 90 |),056,833 | 81 | ,066,670 | 8′ | 7,721,185 | 8 | 1,429,886 |

(1) Funds from operations, or FFO, is used by industry analysts and investors as a supplemental operating performance measure for REITs. We calculate FFO in accordance with the definition that was adopted by the Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT. FFO, as defined by NAREIT, represents net income determined in accordance with GAAP, excluding extraordinary items as defined under GAAP, impairment charges on depreciable real estate assets and gains or losses from sales of previously depreciated operating real estate assets, plus specified non-cash items, such as real estate asset depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. We also present FFO attributable to common shares and OP units, which is FFO excluding preferred stock dividends. FFO attributable to common shares and OP units per share is calculated on a basis consistent with net income attributable to common shares and OP units and reflects adjustments to net income for preferred stock dividends.

We use FFO as a supplemental performance measure because, in excluding real estate related depreciation and amortization and gains and losses from property dispositions, it provides a performance measure that, when compared period over period, captures trends in occupancy rates, rental rates and operating expenses. We also believe that, as a widely recognized measure of the performance of equity REITs, FFO may be used by investors as a basis to compare our operating performance with that of other REITs. However, because FFO excludes real estate related depreciation and amortization and captures neither the changes in the value of our properties that result from use or market conditions nor the level of capital expenditures and leasing commissions necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, all of which have real economic effects and could materially impact our results from operations, the utility of FFO as a measure of our performance is limited.

While FFO is a relevant and widely used measure of operating performance of equity REITs, other equity REITs may use different methodologies for calculating FFO and, accordingly, FFO as disclosed by such other REITs may not be comparable to our FFO. Therefore, we believe that in order to facilitate a clear understanding of our historical operating results, FFO should be examined in conjunction with net income as presented in the consolidated statements of operations. FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income or to cash flow from operating activities (each as computed in accordance with GAAP) or as an indicator of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to meet our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends or make distributions.

We present FFO with adjustments to arrive at Normalized FFO. Normalized FFO is FFO attributable to common shares and units excluding severance expense and equity accelerations, gain or loss on early extinguishment of debt, gain or loss on derivative instruments and write-offs of original issuance

costs for redeemed preferred shares. We also present FFO with supplemental adjustments to arrive at Adjusted FFO ("AFFO"). AFFO is Normalized FFO excluding straight-line revenue, compensation paid with Company common shares, below market lease amortization and write-offs net of above market lease amortization and write-offs, non real estate depreciation and amortization, amortization of deferred financing costs, improvements to real estate and capitalized leasing commissions. AFFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP and therefore should not be considered an alternative to net income as an indicator of our operating performance or as an alternative to cash flow provided by operations as a measure of liquidity and is not necessarily indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs including our ability to pay dividends. In addition, AFFO may not be comparable to similarly titled measurements employed by other companies. We use AFFO in management reports to provide a measure of REIT operating performance that can be compared to other companies using AFFO.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of the accompanying consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Our actual results may differ from these estimates. We evaluate these estimates on an ongoing basis, based upon information currently available and on various assumptions we believe are reasonable as of the date hereof. Our critical accounting policies and estimates have not changed materially from the discussion of our significant accounting policies found in Management's Discussion and Analysis and Results of Operations in our Form 10-K.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued Accounting Standard Update No. 2014-09 - Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). The standard's core principle is that a company will recognize revenue when it transfers promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the company expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. We will be required to apply the new standard in the first quarter of 2018, and although the standard does not apply to leases, we have assessed the impact on our financial position and results of operations. The standard will change our method of recognizing revenue on service and installation contracts included in other revenue in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations from the completed contract method to a method that recognizes revenue over the course of the contract based on the goods or services transferred to date relative to the remaining goods or services promised under the contract. We expect that the result of this change will have an immaterial effect on our financial position or results of operations. We currently do not believe the standard will have a material impact on how we recognize revenues from our recoveries from tenants in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

In August 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-15 - Presentation of Financial Statements - Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40): Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern. Under this guidance, management will be required to perform a going concern evaluation similar to the auditor's evaluation required by standards issued by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. This evaluation will be required for both annual and interim reporting periods. We will be required to apply the new standard in the fourth quarter of 2016 and do not believe that the new standard will have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

In February 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2016-02 - Leases (Topic 842). We will be required to apply the new standard in the first quarter of 2019. The standard does not fundamentally change the lessor accounting model, and we do not believe that the new standard will have a material effect impact our financial position or results of operations.

In June 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2016-03 - Financial Instruments - Credit Losses (Topic 326). Under this guidance, a company will be required to use a new forward-looking "expected loss" model for trade and other receivables that generally will result in the earlier recognition of allowances for losses. We will be required to apply the new standard in the first quarter of 2020 and do not believe that the new standard will have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2015, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2015-02 - Consolidation: Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis, which amends the criteria for determining variable interest entities ("VIEs"), amends the criteria for determining if a service provider possesses a variable interest in a VIE, and eliminates the presumption that a general partner should consolidate a limited partnership. The guidance was effective in the first quarter of 2016. We adopted this standard as of January 1, 2016, and there was no material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

In March 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2016-09, Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting. The new guidance affects two areas of our

accounting. First, the guidance will increase the amount an employer can withhold to cover income taxes on awards and still qualify for the exception to liability classification for shares used to satisfy the employer's statutory income tax withholding obligation. An employer will be allowed to withhold shares up to the amount of tax potentially owed using the maximum statutory tax rate in each jurisdiction. Second, companies will now have the ability to make a policy election to account for forfeitures as they occur versus recording a forfeiture estimate. We have early-adopted this standard as of January 1, 2016. We elected to account for forfeitures as they occur versus recording a forfeiture estimate. Per the guidance, we used a modified retrospective transition method of adoption, with a cumulative effect adjustment to accumulated deficit on our consolidated balance sheet as December 31, 2015 totaling less than \$0.1 million.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our future income, cash flows and fair values relevant to our financial instruments are dependent upon prevalent market interest rates. Market risk refers to the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and interest rates.

Our variable rate debt consists of the ACC3 Term Loan, the Unsecured Term Loan and the Unsecured Credit Facility. The ACC3 Term Loan, the Unsecured Term Loan and the Unsecured Credit Facility all currently bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus an applicable margin. If interest rates were to increase by 1%, the increase in interest expense on our variable rate debt outstanding as of September 30, 2016 would decrease future net income and cash flows by \$3.6 million annually, less the impact of capitalization of interest incurred on net income. Because one month LIBOR was approximately 0.52% at September 30, 2016, a decrease of 0.52% would increase future net income and cash flows by \$1.9 million annually less the impact of capitalization of interest incurred on net income. Interest risk amounts were determined by considering the impact of hypothetical interest rates on our financial instruments. These analyses do not consider the effect of any change in overall economic activity that could occur in that environment. Further, in the event of a change of that magnitude, we may take specific actions to further mitigate our exposure to the change. However, due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that would be taken and their possible effects, these analyses assume no changes in our financial structure. We believe that we have effectively managed interest rate exposure because the majority of our indebtedness bears a fixed rate of interest. As of September 30, 2016, 70% of our indebtedness was fixed rate debt. We also utilize preferred stock to raise capital, the dividends required under the terms of which have a coupon rate that is fixed.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Controls and Procedures with Respect to DFT

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

DFT's management, with the participation of DFT's President and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of DFT's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on this evaluation, the President and Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer have concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this report, DFT's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in DFT's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) or 15a-15(f) of the Exchange Act) that occurred during the three months ended September 30, 2016 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, DFT's internal control over financial reporting.

Controls and Procedures with Respect to the Operating Partnership

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

DFT's management, with the participation of DFT's President and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Operating Partnership's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on this evaluation, the President and Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer have concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Operating Partnership's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in the Operating Partnership's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the three months ended September 30, 2016 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Operating Partnership's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

None.

ITEM 1.A RISK FACTORS

The following risk factors are included in this Quarterly Report to be considered in addition to those risk factors included in Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Our expansion into new markets may present increased risks due to our unfamiliarity with those areas.

In November 2015, we announced that, over the next several years, we plan to expand our data center development and operations into one or more new markets. As a part of this expansion strategy, we may be developing and operating data centers in markets in which we have little or no operating experience. Our ability to successfully enter new markets will depend on, among other things, our ability to find and acquire land suitable for development, our ability to develop new data centers on our anticipated time-line and at the expected cost, and our ability to lease up newly developed data centers. Our new markets may have different competitive conditions, and may subject us to different operating considerations, than those we have experienced in our existing markets, which, in turn, may adversely affect our ability to develop and operate data centers in these new markets.

Expansion of our business into new markets will involve substantial planning and allocation of significant company resources and certain risks, including risks related to financing, zoning, regulatory approvals, construction costs and delays. Our lack of operating experience in these new markets may adversely impact our ability to successfully develop new data center facilities in such markets. In order for our prospective data center development projects in these new markets to be successful, we will be required to carefully select and rely on the experience of one or more general contractors and associated subcontractors during the data center construction process, some of which we may have little or no experience working with. Should a general contractor or significant subcontractor working on any such development project experience financial or other material problems during the construction process, we could experience significant delays, increased costs to complete the project and other issues that may negatively impact our expected financial returns. As we expand into new markets, Site selection in expansion markets is also a factor critical to our expansion plans, and there may not be available, or our lack of operating experience in a new market may make it difficult for us to successfully identify and acquire, suitable properties in these markets in locations that are attractive to our customers and that have access to multiple network providers and a significant supply of electrical power. These and other risks could result in delays to, or increased costs of, completing development projects in new markets, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, expansion into new markets will subject us to risks, including unanticipated costs, associated with obtaining access to a sufficient amount of power from local utilities with whom we have little or no experience, including risks and costs related to the need, in some cases, to develop utility substations on our properties in order to accommodate our power needs; risks related to constraints on the amount of electricity that a particular locality's power grid is capable of providing at any given time, and risks and costs associated with the negotiation of long-term power contracts with unfamiliar utility providers. We cannot assure you that we will be able to successfully negotiate such contracts on acceptable terms or at all. Any inability to negotiate utility contracts on a timely basis or on acceptable financial terms or in volumes sufficient to supply the requisite power for our development properties would have a material adverse effect on our growth and results of operations and financial condition.

We generally commence development of a data center facility prior to having received any commitments from customers to lease any space in them - commonly known as developing "on speculation" - and we expect to develop data centers in new markets "on speculation" as well. Due to our lack of operating experience in our new markets, we may be unable to attract

customers on a timely basis, or at all, to the properties that we have developed. Once development of a data center facility is complete, we incur certain operating expenses even if there are no customers occupying any space. Consequently, if any of our properties have significant vacancies for an extended period of time, we will incur operating expenses that will not be reimbursed by customers and our results of operations and business and financial condition will be affected adversely, the impact of which could be material.

If we are unable to successfully develop, lease up and operate data centers in new markets, our ability to implement our business strategy and our financial condition and results of operations may be materially adversely affected.

As with the development of our other data centers, the development of data centers in new markets is subject to certain risks that could result in a delay in completion or the failure to complete the project, including, but not limited to, risks related to financing, zoning, environmental and other regulatory approvals, and construction costs. We cannot assure you that we will be able to successfully develop a data center or that we will be able to lease up our data centers once they are developed. If we are unable to successfully develop a data center on the land that we own in Hillsboro, Oregon or the Greater Toronto Area or in any of the other planned expansion markets, our ability to implement our business strategy may be adversely affected, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operation.

Ownership of properties located outside of the United States subjects us to foreign currency and related risks which may adversely impact our ability to make distributions.

We have plans to own, develop and operate properties located outside of the United States, primarily in the Greater Toronto Area of Ontario, Canada. The ownership of properties located outside of the United States subjects us to risk from fluctuations in exchange rates between the Canadian dollar and the U.S. dollar. Changes in the relation of the Canadian dollar to the U.S. dollar will affect our revenues and operating margins, may materially adversely impact our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and ability to satisfy our debt obligations.

We may attempt to mitigate some or all of the risk of currency fluctuation by financing our properties in the local currency denominations, although we cannot assure you that we will be able to do so or that this will be effective. We may also engage in direct hedging activities to mitigate the risks of exchange rate fluctuations in a manner consistent with our qualifications as a REIT, although we cannot assure you that we will be able to do so or that this will be effective.

| ITEM 2. | UNREGISTERED | SALES OF EC | DUITY SECURITIES AN | D USE OF PROCEEDS. |
|---------|--------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| | | | | |

None.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS.

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|----------------|---|
| 10.1 | First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of July 25, 2016, by and among DuPont Fabros Technology, L.P., as Borrower, KeyBank National Association, as Agent, and the other lending institutions that are parties thereto, as Lenders. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed by the Registrant on July 28, 2016 (Registration No. 001-33748)). |
| 10.2 | First Amended and Restated Guaranty, dated as of July 25, 2016, by DuPont Fabros Technology, Inc., Grizzly Ventures LLC, Lemur Properties LLC, Porpoise Ventures LLC, Rhino Equity LLC, Tarantula Ventures LLC, Xeres Management LLC, Xeres Ventures LP and Fox Properties LLC for the benefit of the Agent and the Lenders. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed by the Registrant on July 28, 2016 (Registration No. 001-33748)). |
| 10.3* | First Amendment to 2011 Equity Incentive Plan. |
| 31.1* | Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (DuPont Fabros Technology, Inc.). |
| 31.2* | Certification by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (DuPont Fabros Technology, Inc.). |
| 31.3* | Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (DuPont Fabros Technology, L.P.). |
| 31.4* | Certification by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (DuPont Fabros Technology, L.P.). |
| 32.1* | Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (DuPont Fabros Technology, Inc.). |
| 32.2* | Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (DuPont Fabros Technology, L.P.). |
| 101* | XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language). The following materials from DFT's and the Operating Partnership's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2016, formatted in XBRL: (i) consolidated balance sheets, (ii) consolidated statements of operations, (iii) consolidated statements of cash flows, and (iv) consolidated statements of stockholders' equity and partners' capital, and (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text. As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this information is furnished and not filed for purpose of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act and Section 18 of the Exchange Act. |

^{*} Filed herewith.

Date: October 27, 2016

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

DUPONT FABROS TECHNOLOGY, INC.

By: /s/ James W. Armstrong

James W. Armstrong Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)

DUPONT FABROS TECHNOLOGY, L.P.

By: DuPont Fabros Technology, Inc., its sole general partner

Date: October 27, 2016 By: /s/ James W. Armstrong

James W. Armstrong Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)

Exhibit Index

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|----------------|---|
| 10.1 | First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of July 25, 2016, by and among DuPont Fabros Technology, L.P., as Borrower, KeyBank National Association, as Agent, and the other lending institutions that are parties thereto, as Lenders. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed by the Registrant on July 28, 2016 (Registration No. 001-33748)). |
| 10.2 | First Amended and Restated Guaranty, dated as of July 25, 2016, by DuPont Fabros Technology, Inc., Grizzly Ventures LLC, Lemur Properties LLC, Porpoise Ventures LLC, Rhino Equity LLC, Tarantula Ventures LLC, Xeres Management LLC, Xeres Ventures LP and Fox Properties LLC for the benefit of the Agent and the Lenders. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed by the Registrant on July 28, 2016 (Registration No. 001-33748)). |
| 10.3* | First Amendment to 2011 Equity Incentive Plan. |
| 31.1* | Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (DuPont Fabros Technology, Inc.). |
| 31.2* | Certification by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (DuPont Fabros Technology, Inc.). |
| 31.3* | Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (DuPont Fabros Technology, L.P.). |
| 31.4* | Certification by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (DuPont Fabros Technology, L.P.). |
| 32.1* | Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (DuPont Fabros Technology, Inc.). |
| 32.2* | Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (DuPont Fabros Technology, L.P.). |
| 101* | XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language). The following materials from DFT's and the Operating Partnership's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2016, formatted in XBRL: (i) consolidated balance sheets, (ii) consolidated statements of operations, (iii) consolidated statements of cash flows, and (iv) consolidated statements of stockholders' equity and partners' capital, and (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text. As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this information is furnished and not filed for purpose of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act and Section 18 of the Exchange Act. |

^{*} Filed herewith.